

USDA FOREST SERVICE
GENERAL TECHNICAL REPORT INT-96
NOVEMBER 1981

PHOTO GUIDE FOR APPRAISING DOWNED WOODY FUELS IN MONTANA FORESTS:

**Grand Fir–Larch–Douglas-Fir,
Western Hemlock,
Western Hemlock–Western Redcedar, and
Western Redcedar Cover Types**

William C. Fischer



INTERMOUNTAIN FOREST AND RANGE EXPERIMENT STATION
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE FOREST SERVICE
OGDEN, UTAH 84401

THE AUTHOR

WILLIAM C. FISCHER is a research forester for the Fire Effects and Use Research and Development Program, at the Northern Forest Fire Laboratory. His current assignment is to develop techniques and procedures for applying existing research knowledge to the task of producing improved operational fire management plans, with special emphasis on fire use, fuel treatment, and fuel management plans. Mr. Fischer received his bachelor's degree in forestry from the University of Michigan in 1956. From 1956 to 1966, he did Ranger District and forest staff work in timber management and fire control on the Boise National Forest.

RESEARCH SUMMARY

Four series of color photographs show different levels of downed woody material resulting from natural processes in four forest cover types in Montana. Each photo is supplemented by inventory data describing the size, weight, volume, and condition of the debris pictured. A subjective evaluation of potential fire behavior under an average bad fire weather situation is given.

Instructions are provided for using the photos to describe fuels and to evaluate potential fire hazard.

PHOTO GUIDE FOR APPRAISING DOWNED WOODY FUELS IN MONTANA FORESTS:

**Grand Fir–Larch–Douglas-Fir,
Western Hemlock,
Western Hemlock–Western Redcedar, and
Western Redcedar Cover Types**

William C. Fischer

INTERMOUNTAIN FOREST AND RANGE EXPERIMENT STATION
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE FOREST SERVICE
OGDEN, UTAH 84401

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The fire behavior potential ratings assigned to the photos in this guide are the results of on-site evaluation by the following fire managers and researchers:

Donald E. Abbott, Deerlodge National Forest
George F. Bissonette, Kootenai National Forest
Dr. James K. Brown, Northern Forest Fire Laboratory
Fred L. Cavill, Lolo National Forest
Farrell G. Cooper, Lolo National Forest
Ronald G. Curtiss, Kootenai National Forest
Walter L. De Zell, Bitterroot National Forest
John B. Dillon, Kootenai National Forest
Wyatt W. Frost, Bitterroot National Forest
Horace F. Goodwin, Custer National Forest
Del Goss, Helena National Forest
Patrick F. Hartless, Northern Forest Fire Laboratory
Roger O. Hearst, Lolo National Forest
Jerry A. Hinman, Bitterroot National Forest
Robert Kellogg, Helena National Forest

Douglas H. Mackay, Lewis and Clark National Forest
Jerrald W. Miller, Lolo National Forest
Robert W. Mutch, Lolo National Forest
Dr. Rodney. A. Norum, Northern Forest Fire Laboratory¹
John V. Puckett, Northern Region Aviation and Fire Management
Delmar L. Radtke, Northern Region Timber Management
Charles E. Rodgers, Lolo National Forest
Everett Stiger, Helena National Forest
Russel H. Trodstad, Lewis and Clark National Forest
Walter J. Tomascak, Custer National Forest
Don V. Williams, Northern Region Aviation and Fire Management (Retired)
Jerry Williams, Montana State Division of Forestry

The author gratefully acknowledges the help received from these men and thanks them for their willingness to share their expertise. Nonan V. Noste, Northern Forest Fire Laboratory, conducted many of the fire behavior potential rating sessions. His help is also gratefully acknowledged.

¹Subsequently assigned to Institute of Northern Forestry, Fairbanks, Alaska.

CONTENTS

	Page
PURPOSE OF PHOTO SERIES	1
USING THE PHOTOS	1
Arranging the Photos	1
The Data Sheet	2
Describing the Fuel Complex	2
Rating Fire Potential	3
PHOTO GUIDE DEVELOPMENT	5
PUBLICATIONS CITED	5
SERIES 1 - GRAND FIR - LARCH - DOUGLAS-FIR SAF COVER TYPE 213	7
SERIES 2 - WESTERN HEMLOCK, WESTERN REDCEDAR - WESTERN HEMLOCK, WESTERN RED- CEDAR SAF COVER TYPES 224, 227 AND 228	23

PURPOSE OF PHOTO SERIES

This photo guide contains information that can be used to appraise the dead woody debris on the forest floor of grand fir - larch - Douglas-fir, western hemlock, western redcedar - western hemlock, and western redcedar forest cover types (SAF 1954) in Montana. The guide is primarily for natural (nonslash) fuels, although some photos include old logging and thinning slash. Natural fuels result from wind, snow, and mechanical breakage, natural pruning of lower branches, needle fall, windthrow, blowdown, and the falling of trees killed by insects, disease, fire, and competition for light and moisture. The old logging and thinning slash shown has either been treated or left untreated. It can therefore be considered a part of the natural fuel complex.

This guide is designed to help forest managers describe the deadwood on the forest floor, to estimate the amount of such material, and to evaluate its fire hazard. The photos show a variety of fuel situations that exist in grand fir, western hemlock, and western redcedar forests in Montana and surrounding Northern Rocky Mountain areas.

The fuel appraisal obtained from this guide can be used to plan fire management strategies including fire prevention, fuel treatment, prescribed fire, dispatching for fire suppression, and establishing criteria for unscheduled prescribed fires.

The photos provide a relatively quick and inexpensive aid for accomplishing fuel appraisal over large forested areas. Although the precision of this procedure is unknown, it is expected to be intermediate: less than standard fuel inventory but greater than designating a stylized fuel model such as used in the National Fire Danger Rating System.

Perhaps the strongest feature of this series is the fire potential rating with each photo. Alternative methods for evaluating fire potential are generally unavailable, and those methods that do exist are outdated or not well suited for rating nonuniform fuel situations.

USING THE PHOTOS

Arranging the Photos

The photos and accompanying data sheets are presented in two series:

Series 1—Grand fir - larch - Douglas-fir cover type.

Series 2—Western hemlock, western redcedar - western hemlock, and western redcedar cover types.

Within each series, the photos are arranged according to total fuel loading. The first photo in each series

shows the lightest fuel load, the last shows the heaviest load.

The Data Sheet

The fuel complex shown in each photo is described on an accompanying data sheet in terms of the following characteristics:

1. Forest cover type.
2. Montana habitat type.
3. Stand and site data: age of overstory dominants, average slope, aspect, elevation, and fire ecology group.
4. Down and dead woody fuel loadings by size class.
5. Other fuel data: average duff depth and for fuels 3 inches (7.62 cm) in diameter and greater, the average diameter, the percent rotten, and the volume of sound material.
6. National Fire Danger Rating System fuel model.
7. Stylized fuel model.

Symbols used for forest vegetation are the standard symbols for Northern Region plants (USDA Forest Service 1969). The symbols represent the first two letters of the generic name and the first two letters of the specific name of the plant species (such as ABGR - *Abies grandis*).

Describing the Fuel Complex

2

Several important fuel characteristics can be seen in each photo: (1) The amount of fuel in the different diameter classes, (2) the general condition of the fuel (sound versus rotten), (3) the distribution of the fuel over the area, and (4) the depth of the fuel (each black and white section on the plot marker is 1 foot [0.3048 m]). Consequently, the manager can use the photos to estimate values for these characteristics of woody debris on the forest floor.

To use the photos to describe downed woody fuels, simply inspect the fuel complex and then select the photo that most nearly compares with what is on the ground. Then use the information on the data sheet to describe the observed fuel complex.

Perhaps no one photo adequately represents the actual situation. If this is the case, select two photos that bracket the observed fuel complex and then interpolate between the values on the data sheets accompanying the selected photos.

Rather than trying to select one photo or a pair of photos that best reflects the entire fuel complex, the user could describe each of the above-mentioned fuel characteristics separately. This could be done by using the following procedure suggested by Maxwell and Ward (1976a, 1976b), as adapted by Koski and Fischer (1979):

1. Observe each of the characteristics of the fuel complex on the ground.

2. For each characteristic, select the photo that most nearly matches, or photos that bracket the observed situations.

3. For each characteristic, obtain a value from the data sheet accompanying the selected photo. (or interpolate a value if a pair of photos was selected).

The above procedure should only be used when a single photo or a pair of photos can't be used to describe the observed situation. For most fuel situations, any improvement in estimates obtained by rating each fuel characteristic separately is not justified by the increased time it takes to get them.

These procedures refer to use of the photos at a specific point. This can be a representative point and the results applied to an entire forest stand. This method is satisfactory when the fuels are uniform throughout the stands. The photos can be used to sample when nonuniform fuels preclude the selection of a representative point. The procedure is as follows:

1. Establish 10 or more points, spread systematically through the stand.

2. At each point evaluate the fuels within clear eyesight.

3. Summarize the results as a simple average for the stand or express the results as the percent of area in several classes (for example, 40 percent of stand >10 tons/acre, 60 percent of stand <30 tons/acre).

Rating Fire Potential

The data sheet for each photo contains adjective ratings for five different expressions of fire behavior: rate of spread, intensity, torching, crowning, and resistance to control. An overall fire behavior potential rating is also given for the fuel complex pictured. The ratings are for an "average bad" fire weather situation defined as: 80°-90° F temperature (27°-32° C), 15-20 percent relative humidity, 10-15 mi/h windspeed (16-24 km/h), and 4 weeks since a significant rain (0.10 inch [0.25 cm] or greater).

This approach to estimating fire potential is not without precedent in the Northern Rocky Mountains. In many ways it is a refinement of the time-tested concept of fuel rating introduced more than 40 years ago by L. G. Hornby (1936).

The adjective ratings nil, low, medium, high, and extreme are defined as follows for each of the different expressions of fire behavior:

Rate of Spread

Nil—fire cannot sustain itself.

Low—spread will be slow and discontinuous.

Medium—uniform spread possible, but can be stopped by aggressive ground attack with hand tools.

High—spread will be rapid; indirect attack on fire front may be required for control.

Extreme—spread will be explosive; little chance of control until weather changes.

Intensity

Nil—fire cannot sustain itself.

Low—cool fire; very little hot spotting required for control.

Medium—fire will burn hot in places; aggressive hot spotting with hand tools likely to be successful.

High—too hot for sustained direct attack with hand tools; aerial tankers or large ground tanker required to cool fire front.

Extreme—direct ground attack not possible; air or ground tanker attack likely to be ineffective.

Torching

Nil—no chance of torching.

Low—occasional tree may torch-out.

Medium—pole-sized understory trees likely to torch-out.

High—Most of understory and occasional overstory trees likely to torch-out.

Extreme—entire stand likely to torch-out.

Crowning

Nil—sustained spread in crowns will not occur.

Low—sustained spread in crowns unlikely.

Medium—some crowning likely but will not be continuous.

High—sustained crowning likely.

Extreme—sustained crowning will occur.

4

Resistance to Control

Nil—no physical impediments to line building and holding.

Low—occasional tough spots but not enough to cause serious line building and holding problems.

Medium—hand line construction will be difficult and slow, but dozers can operate without serious problems.

High—slow work for dozers, very difficult for hand crews; hand line holding will be difficult.

Extreme—neither dozers nor hand crews can effectively build and hold line.

Overall

Nil—fire will not sustain itself.

Low—fire can be easily controlled by several smokechasers with hand tools.

Medium—aggressive crew-sized (6-10 persons) initial attack required for successful control.

High—aggressive crew-sized (25 persons) initial attack with substantial reinforcement required for successful control; 10 percent chance that initial control action will fail.

Extreme—90 percent chance that initial control action will fail.

Procedures for using the photos to estimate fire potential are the same as those given for describing the fuel complex.

PHOTO GUIDE DEVELOPMENT

This photo guide was developed using the technique explained by Fischer (1981), which involved the following steps:

1. The fuel complexes photographed were selected to represent the range of fuel situations observed to exist for the cover type in Montana.

2. Sample plots are generally laid out and photographed in accordance with procedures suggested by USDA Forest Service (1975).

3. Fuels were sampled and described using fuel inventory and computational techniques developed by Brown (1974).

4. Habitat types are according to Pfister and others (1977). Cover types are according to SAF (1954).

5. Fire potential ratings are based on subjective evaluation by experienced fire managers using the adjective ratings and definitions in the preceding section of this guide.

6. National Fire Danger Rating fuel model assignment was by the author using definitions provided by Deeming and others (1977). Stylized fuel model assignment was by the author using definitions provided by Albini (1976).

7. The fire ecology group assignment was by the author using the definitions provided by Davis and others (1980).

8. Stand and site data were obtained using standard forestry field techniques.

PUBLICATIONS CITED

Albini, Frank A.

1976. Estimating wildfire behavior and effects. USDA For. Serv. Gen. Tech. Rep. INT-30, 92 p. Intermt. For. and Range Exp. Stn., Ogden, Utah.

Brown, James K.

1974. Handbook for inventorying downed woody material. USDA For. Serv. Gen. Tech. Rep. INT-16, 24 p. Intermt. For. and Range Exp. Stn., Ogden, Utah.

Davis, Kathleen M., Bruce D. Clayton, and William C. Fischer.

1980. Fire ecology of Lolo National Forest habitat types. USDA For. Serv. Gen. Tech. Rep. INT-79, 77 p. Intermt. For. and Range Exp. Stn., Ogden, Utah.

Deeming, John E., Robert E. Burgan, and Jack D. Cohen.

1977. The National Fire-Danger Rating System - 1978. USDA For. Serv. Gen. Tech. Rep. INT-39, 63 p. Intermt. For. and Range Exp. Stn., Ogden, Utah.

Fischer, William C.

1981. Photo guides for appraising downed woody

fuels in Montana forests: how they were made. USDA For. Serv. Res. Note INT-299, 12 p. Intermt. For. and Range Exp. Stn., Ogden, Utah.

Hornby, L. G.
 1936. Fire control planning in the northern Rocky Mountain region. USDA For. Serv. Progr. Rep. 1, 179 p. North. Rocky Mt. For. and Range Exp. Stn., Missoula, Mont.

Koski, Wayne H., and William C. Fischer.
 1979. Photo series for appraising thinning slash in north Idaho. USDA For. Serv. Gen. Tech. Rep. INT-46, 49 p. Intermt. For. and Range Exp. Stn., Ogden, Utah.

Maxwell, Wayne G., and Franklin R. Ward.
 1976a. Photo series for quantifying forest residues in the: coastal Douglas-fir — hemlock type, coastal Douglas-fir — hardwood type. USDA For. Serv. Gen. Tech. Rep. PNW-51, 103 p. Pac. Northwest For. and Range Exp. Stn., Portland, Oreg.

Maxwell, Wayne G., and Franklin R. Ward.
 1976b. Photo series for quantifying forest residues in the: ponderosa pine type, ponderosa pine and associated species type, lodgepole pine type. USDA For. Serv. Gen. Tech. Rep. PNW-52, 73 p. Pac. Northwest For. and Range Exp. Stn., Portland, Oreg.

Pfister, Robert D., Bernard L. Kovalchik, Stephen F. Arno, and Richard C. Presby.
 1977. Forest habitat types of Montana. USDA For. Serv. Gen. Tech. Rep. INT-34, 174 p. Intermt. For. and Range Exp. Stn., Ogden, Utah.

Society of American Foresters (SAF).
 1954. Forest cover types of North America. 67 p. Society of American Foresters, Washington, D.C. USDA Forest Service.

1975. National fuel classification and inventory system, preliminary draft. 61 p. Washington, D.C. USDA Forest Service.

1969. Standard symbols and common names for plants of the Northern Region. R1-2210-15 (revised 9/69), Reg. 1, Missoula, Mont.

SERIES 1
GRAND FIR - LARCH - DOUGLAS-FIR
SAF COVER TYPE 213



DATA SHEET

Stand No. 16

FOREST COVER TYPE: SAF NO. 213 Grand fir - Larch - Douglas-fir
MONTANA HABITAT TYPE: NO. 521 Grand fir/queencup beadlily-queencup beadlily (ABGR/CLUN-CLUN)

DOWN & DEAD WOODY FUEL LOADINGS			OTHER FUEL DATA		FIRE POTENTIAL RATING	
Size Class (Inches)	T/ac	Weight Kg/m ²	Average duff depth: 2.6 in 6.60 cm		Based on an average bad day: 85-90° temp., 15-20% R.H., 10-15 mi/h wind, 4 weeks since rain	
0-0.25	0.9	0.20	Average diameter, 3+fuels: 5.5 in 13.97 cm		Rate of Spread: medium	
0.25-1	1.7	0.38	Percent rotten, 3+fuels: 38 %		Intensity: low	
1-3	2.1	0.47	Volume of sound 3+fuels: 576 ft ³ /ac 40.3 m ³ /ha		Torching: medium	
Subtotal 0-3 4.7 1.05			STAND AND SITE DATA		Crowning: low	
3-6 3.3 0.74					Resistance to control: low	
6-10 6.1 1.37					Overall Fire Potential MEDIUM	
10-20 2.2 0.49					STAND LOCATION	
20+ 0 0					National Forest: Lolo	
SUBTOTAL 3+ 11.6 2.60			ABGR 90 yrs		Ranger District: Ninemile	
TOTAL 16.3 3.65			Average slope: 10 %		Drainage: Mill Cr.	
NFDRS FUEL MODEL			Aspect: northeast		Photo taken: 9/22/76	
STYLIZED FUEL MODEL			Elevation: 3120 ft 951 m		By: W. C. Fischer	
G			Remarks: moist grand fir bottom Fire Ecology Group Eleven			
10						



DATA SHEET

Stand No. 15

FOREST COVER TYPE: SAF NO. 213, Grand fir - Larch - Douglas-fir

MONTANA HABITAT TYPE: NO. 521, Grand fir/queencup beadlily-queencup beadlily phase (ABGR/CLUN-CLUN)

DOWN & DEAD WOODY FUEL LOADINGS			OTHER FUEL DATA		FIRE POTENTIAL RATING	
Size Class (Inches)	T/ac	Weight Kg/m ²	Average duff depth: <u>3.0</u> in <u>7.62</u> cm		Based on an average bad day: 85-90 ° temp., 15-20% R.H., 10-15 mi/h wind, 4 weeks since rain	
0-0.25	0.6	0.13	Average diameter, 3+fuels: <u>5.9</u> in <u>14.99</u> cm		Rate of Spread: <u>low</u>	
0.25-1	1.3	0.29	Percent rotten, 3+fuels: <u>81</u> %		Intensity: <u>low</u>	
1-3	3.3	0.74	Volume of sound 3+fuels: <u>230</u> ft ³ /ac <u>16.1</u> m ³ /ha		Torching: <u>medium</u>	
Subtotal 0-3	5.2	1.16	STAND AND SITE DATA		Crowning: <u>low</u>	
3-6	3.6	0.81	Age of overstory dominants: <u>ABGR</u> <u>85 yrs</u>		Resistance to control: <u>low</u>	
6-10	3.2	0.72	<u>LAOC</u> <u>82 yrs</u>		Overall Fire Potential <u>LOW</u>	
10-20	8.5	1.91			STAND LOCATION	
20+	0	0			National Forest: <u>Lolo</u>	
SUBTOTAL 3+	15.3	3.44			Ranger District: <u>Ninemile</u>	
TOTAL	20.5	4.60	Average slope: <u>20</u> % Aspect: <u>north</u> Elevation: <u>3450</u> ft <u>1052</u> m		Drainage: <u>Mill Cr.</u>	
NFDRS FUEL MODEL	STYLIZED FUEL MODEL		Remarks: <u>stream-side</u> <u>Fire Ecology Group Eleven</u>		Photo taken: <u>9/22/76</u>	
G	10				By: <u>W. C. Fischer</u>	



DATA SHEET

Stand No. 63

FOREST COVER TYPE: SAF NO. 213 Grand fir - Larch - Douglas-fir

MONTANA HABITAT TYPE: NO. 510 Grand fir/beargrass (ABGR/XETE)

DOWN & DEAD WOODY FUEL LOADINGS			OTHER FUEL DATA		FIRE POTENTIAL RATING	
Size Class (Inches)	T/ac	Weight Kg/m ²				
0-0.25	0.4	0.09	Average duff depth: <u>1.9</u> in <u>4.83</u> cm		Based on an average bad day: 85-90° temp., 15-20% R.H., 10-15 mi/h wind, 4 weeks since rain	
0.25-1	1.9	0.43	Average diameter, 3+fuels: <u>6.5</u> in <u>16.51</u> cm		Rate of Spread: <u>medium</u>	
1-3	0.7	0.16	Percent rotten, 3+fuels: <u>31</u> %		Intensity: <u>medium</u>	
Subtotal 0-3	3.0	0.68	Volume of sound 3+fuels: <u>1069</u> ft ³ /ac <u>74.8</u> m ³ /ha		Torching: <u>low</u>	
3-6	3.6	0.81	STAND AND SITE DATA Age of overstory dominants: <u>PSME</u> <u>125 yrs</u> <u>ABGR</u> <u>112 yrs</u> <u>PICEA</u> <u>93 yrs</u> <u>ABLA</u> <u>80 yrs</u> Average slope: <u>20</u> % Aspect: <u>northwest</u> Elevation: <u>4530</u> ft <u>1381</u> m Remarks: <u>Fire Ecology Group Eleven</u>		Crowning: <u>low</u>	
6-10	8.3	1.86			Resistance to control: <u>medium</u>	
10-20	7.4	1.66			Overall Fire Potential <u>MEDIUM</u>	
20+	0	0			STAND LOCATION	
SUBTOTAL 3+	19.3	4.33			National Forest: <u>Lolo</u>	
TOTAL	22.3	5.01	Ranger District: <u>Missoula</u>		Drainage: <u>Rattlesnake Cr.</u>	
NFDRS FUEL MODEL		STYLIZED FUEL MODEL	Photo taken: <u>7/13/77</u>			
H/G		8/10	By: <u>W. C. Fischer</u>			



DATA SHEET

Stand No. 65

FOREST COVER TYPE: SAF NO. 213, Grand fir - Larch - Douglas-fir

MONTANA HABITAT TYPE: NO. 521, Grand fir/queencup beadlily-queencup beadlily phase (ABGR/CLUN-CLUN)

DOWN & DEAD WOODY FUEL LOADINGS			OTHER FUEL DATA		FIRE POTENTIAL RATING	
Size Class (Inches)	T/ac	Weight Kg/m ²	Average duff depth: <u>2.6</u> in <u>6.60</u> cm		Based on an average bad day: 85-90° temp., 15-20% R.H., 10-15 mi/h wind, 4 weeks since rain	
0-0.25	0.5	0.11	Average diameter, 3+fuels: <u>5.0</u> in		Rate of Spread: <u>medium</u>	
0.25-1	1.9	0.43	<u>12.70</u> cm		Intensity: <u>high</u>	
1-3	3.6	0.81	Percent rotten, 3+fuels: <u>27</u> %		Torching: <u>high</u>	
Subtotal 0-3	6.0	1.35	Volume of sound 3+fuels: <u>1258</u> ft ³ /ac <u>88.0</u> m ³ /ha		Crowning: <u>medium</u>	
3-6	7.2	1.61	STAND AND SITE DATA		Resistance to control: <u>high</u>	
6-10	10.8	2.42			Overall Fire Potential <u>HIGH</u>	
10-20	0	0			STAND LOCATION	
20+	0	0			National Forest: <u>Lolo</u>	
SUBTOTAL 3+	18.0	4.03			Ranger District: <u>Missoula</u>	
TOTAL	24.0	5.38	Age of overstory dominants: PICO <u>118 yrs</u> LAOC <u>110 yrs</u> ABGR <u>90 yrs</u>		Drainage: <u>Rattlesnake Cr.</u>	
NFDRS FUEL MODEL	STYLIZED FUEL MODEL		Average slope: <u>32</u> % Aspect: <u>southeast</u> Elevation: <u>4180</u> ft <u>1274</u> m		Photo taken: <u>7/14/77</u>	
G	10		Remarks: <u>Fire Ecology Group Eleven</u>		By: <u>W. C. Fischer</u>	



DATA SHEET

Stand No. 67

FOREST COVER TYPE: SAF NO. 213, Grand fir - Larch - Douglas-fir

MONTANA HABITAT TYPE: NO. 521, Grand fir/queencup beadlily-queencup beadlily phase (ABGR/CLUN-CLUN)

DOWN & DEAD WOODY FUEL LOADINGS			OTHER FUEL DATA		FIRE POTENTIAL RATING	
Size Class (Inches)	T/ac	Weight Kg/m ²	Average duff depth: <u>2.6</u> in <u>6.60</u> cm		Based on an average bad day: 85-90° temp., 15-20% R.H., 10-15 mi/h wind, 4 weeks since rain	
0-0.25	0.7	0.16	Average diameter, 3+ fuels: <u>5.7</u> in		Rate of Spread: <u>medium</u>	
0.25-1	2.9	0.65	<u>14.48</u> cm		Intensity: <u>high</u>	
1-3	2.4	0.54	Percent rotten, 3+ fuels: <u>73</u> %		Torching: <u>medium</u>	
Subtotal 0-3	6.0	1.35	Volume of sound 3+ fuels: <u>452</u> ft ³ /ac <u>31.6</u> m ³ /ha		Crowning: <u>medium</u>	
3-6	5.5	1.23	STAND AND SITE DATA		Resistance to control: <u>medium</u>	
6-10	12.2	2.73			Overall Fire Potential <u>MEDIUM</u>	
10-20	3.2	0.72			STAND LOCATION	
20+	0	0			National Forest: <u>Lolo</u>	
SUBTOTAL 3+	20.9	4.68			Ranger District: <u>Missoula</u>	
TOTAL	26.9	6.03	Age of overstory dominants: PSME <u>156</u> yrs LAOC <u>127</u> yrs ABGR <u>109</u> yrs		Drainage: <u>Rattlesnake Cr.</u>	
NFDRS FUEL MODEL	STYLIZED FUEL MODEL		Average slope: <u>30</u> % Aspect: <u>southeast</u> Elevation: <u>4180</u> ft <u>1274</u> m		Photo taken: <u>7/14/77</u>	
G	10		Remarks: <u>Fire Ecology Group Eleven</u>		By: <u>W. C. Fischer</u>	



DATA SHEET

Stand No. 25

FOREST COVER TYPE: SAF NO. 213 Grand fir - Larch - Douglas-fir

MONTANA HABITAT TYPE: NO. 521 Grand fir/queencup beaddily-queencup beaddily phase (ABGR/CLUN-CLUN)

DOWN & DEAD WOODY FUEL LOADINGS			OTHER FUEL DATA		FIRE POTENTIAL RATING	
Size Class (Inches)	T/ac	Weight Kg/m ²				
0-0.25	1.1	0.25	Average duff depth: 4.0 in 10.16 cm		Based on an average bad day: 85-90° temp., 15-20% R.H., 10-15 mi/h wind, 4 weeks since rain	
0.25-1	2.2	0.49	Average diameter, 3+fuels: 10.0 in 25.40 cm		Rate of Spread: low	
1-3	5.5	1.23	Percent rotten, 3+fuels: 99 %		Intensity: medium	
Subtotal 0-3	8.8	1.97	Volume of sound 3+fuels: 16 ft ³ /ac 1.1 m ³ /ha		Torching: low	
3-6	0.6	0.13	STAND AND SITE DATA		Crowning: low	
6-10	6.3	1.41			Resistance to control: medium	
10-20	17.1	3.83	Age of overstory dominants: PICEA 114 yrs		Overall Fire Potential MEDIUM	
20+	2.6	0.58	THPL 111 yrs		STAND LOCATION	
SUBTOTAL 3+	26.6	5.95	PSME 110 yrs		National Forest: Lolo	
TOTAL	35.4	7.92	ABGR 110 yrs		Ranger District: Ninemile	
NFDRS FUEL MODEL	STYLIZED FUEL MODEL		Average slope: 10 %		Drainage: Stony Cr.	
G	10		Aspect: south		Photo taken: 9/24/76	
			Elevation: 4050 ft 1234 m		By: W. C. Fischer	
			Remarks: stream-side			
			Fire Ecology Group Eleven			



DATA SHEET

Stand No. 66

FOREST COVER TYPE: SAF NO. 213 Grand fir - Larch - Douglas-fir

MONTANA HABITAT TYPE: NO. 521 Grand fir/queencup beadlily-queencup beadlily phase (ABGR/CLUN-CLUN)

DOWN & DEAD WOODY FUEL LOADINGS			OTHER FUEL DATA		FIRE POTENTIAL RATING	
Size Class (Inches)	T/ac	Weight Kg/m ²				
0-0.25	0.6	0.13	Average duff depth: <u>4.0</u> in		Based on an average bad day: 85-90° temp., 15-20% R.H., 10-15 mi/h wind, 4 weeks since rain	
			<u>10.16</u> cm			
			Average diameter, 3+ fuels:			
0.25-1	1.6	0.36	<u>7.6</u> in		Rate of Spread: <u>low</u>	
1-3	1.2	0.27	<u>19.30</u> cm		Intensity: <u>medium</u>	
			Percent rotten, 3+ fuels: <u>50</u> %		Torching: <u>low</u>	
			Volume of sound 3+ fuels:		Crowning: <u>low</u>	
Subtotal 0-3	3.4	0.76	<u>1382</u> ft ³ /ac		Resistance to control: <u>medium</u>	
			<u>96.7</u> m ³ /ha		Overall Fire Potential <u>MEDIUM</u>	
3-6	3.5	0.78	STAND AND SITE DATA		STAND LOCATION	
6-10	4.4	0.99	Age of overstory dominants:		National Forest: <u>Lolo</u>	
10-20	26.7	5.90	PSME	<u>280 yrs</u>	Ranger District: <u>Missoula</u>	
20+	0	0	LAOC	<u>256 yrs</u>	Drainage: <u>Rattlesnake Cr.</u>	
			PICEA	<u>117 yrs</u>		
			ABGR	<u>110 yrs</u>		
SUBTOTAL 3+	34.6	7.67	Average slope: <u>1</u> %		Photo taken: <u>7/14/77</u>	
TOTAL	38.0	8.43	Aspect: <u>southeast</u>		By: <u>W. C. Fischer</u>	
			Elevation: <u>4180</u> ft <u>1274</u> m			
			Remarks: <u>stream-side</u>			
			<u>Fire Ecology Group Eleven</u>			
NFORS FUEL MODEL	STYLIZED FUEL MODEL					
G	10					

SERIES 2

**WESTERN HEMLOCK, WESTERN HEMLOCK - WESTERN REDCEDAR,
AND WESTERN REDCEDAR**

SAF COVER TYPES 224, 227, AND 228



DATA SHEET

Stand No. 9A

FOREST COVER TYPE: SAF NO. 227, Western redcedar - Western hemlock

MONTANA HABITAT TYPE: NO. 571, Western hemlock/queencup beadlily-queencup beadlily phase
(TSHE/CLUN-CLUN)

DOWN & DEAD WOODY FUEL LOADINGS			OTHER FUEL DATA		FIRE POTENTIAL RATING
Size Class (Inches)	T/ac	Weight Kg/m ²	Average duff depth:	<u>2.8</u> in <u>7.11</u> cm	Based on an average bad day: 85-90° temp., 15-20% R.H., 10-15 mi/h wind, 4 weeks since rain
0-0.25	0.4	0.09	Average diameter, 3+ fuels:	<u>5.5</u> in <u>13.97</u> cm	
0.25-1	1.1	0.25	Percent rotten, 3+ fuels:	<u>56</u> %	
1-3	0.5	0.11	Volume of sound 3+ fuels:	<u>241</u> ft ³ /ac <u>16.86</u> m ³ /ha	
Subtotal 0-3	2.0	0.45	STAND AND SITE DATA		
3-6	1.8	0.40	Age of overstory dominants:	<u>TSHE, THPL</u> <u>170 yrs</u>	
6-10	4.6	1.03	LACO	<u>170 yrs</u>	STAND LOCATION
10-20	0.5	0.11	PIMO	<u>150 yrs</u>	National Forest: <u>Kootenai</u>
20+	0	0	PSME, ABGR	<u>120 yrs</u>	Ranger District: <u>Libby</u>
SUBTOTAL 3+	6.9	1.54	Average slope:	<u>3</u> %	Drainage: <u>Quartz Cr.</u>
TOTAL	8.9	1.99	Aspect:	<u>southwest</u>	Photo taken: <u>6/27/78</u>
NFDRS FUEL MODEL	STYLIZED FUEL MODEL		Elevation:	<u>2950</u> ft <u>899</u> m	By: <u>W. C. Fischer</u>
H	8		Remarks:	<u>Fire Ecology Group Eleven</u>	



DATA SHEET

Stand No. 4A

FOREST COVER TYPE: SAF NO. 227, Western redcedar - Western hemlock

MONTANA HABITAT TYPE: NO. 571, Western hemlock/queencup beadlily-queencup beadlily phase
(TSHE/CLUN-CLUN)

DOWN & DEAD WOODY FUEL LOADINGS			OTHER FUEL DATA		FIRE POTENTIAL RATING	
Size Class (Inches)	T/ac	Weight Kg/m ²	Average duff depth: <u>2.0</u> in <u>5.08</u> cm		Based on an average bad day: 85-90° temp., 15-20% R.H., 10-15 mi/h wind, 4 weeks since rain	
0-0.25	0.6	0.13	Average diameter, 3+fuels: <u>4.7</u> in <u>11.94</u> cm		Rate of Spread: <u>medium</u>	
0.25-1	1.2	0.27	Percent rotten, 3+fuels: <u>59</u> %		Intensity: <u>low</u>	
1-3	1.3	0.29	Volume of sound 3+fuels: <u>250</u> ft ³ /ac <u>17.5</u> m ³ /ha		Torching: <u>low</u>	
Subtotal 0-3					Crowning: <u>low</u>	
					Resistance to control: <u>medium</u>	
3-6	4.0	0.90			Overall Fire Potential <u>LOW</u>	
6-10	2.8	0.63				
10-20	1.0	0.22				
20+	0	0				
SUBTOTAL 3+						
TOTAL						
NFDRS FUEL MODEL			STAND AND SITE DATA		STAND LOCATION	
STYLIZED FUEL MODEL			Age of overstory dominants: LAOC <u>117 yrs</u> TSHE <u>90 yrs</u> PIMO <u>90 yrs</u> ABGR <u>80 yrs</u>		National Forest: <u>Kootenai</u>	
H			Average slope: <u>2</u> % Aspect: <u>northeast</u> Elevation: <u>2630</u> ft <u>799</u> m		Ranger District: <u>Libby</u>	
8			Remarks: <u>Fire Ecology Group Eleven</u>		Drainage: <u>W. Fk. Quartz Cr.</u>	
					Photo taken: <u>6/27/78</u>	
					By: <u>W. C. Fischer</u>	



DATA SHEET

Stand No. 17A

FOREST COVER TYPE: SAF NO. 228 Western redcedar
MONTANA HABITAT TYPE: NO. 532 Western redcedar/queencup beadlily-wild sarsparilla phase
(THPL/CLUN-ARNU)

DOWN & DEAD WOODY FUEL LOADINGS			OTHER FUEL DATA		FIRE POTENTIAL RATING	
Size Class (Inches)	T/ac	Weight Kg/m ²				
0-0.25	0.2	0.04	Average duff depth: 3.1 in 7.87 cm		Based on an average bad day: 85-90° temp., 15-20% R.H., 10-15 mi/h wind, 4 weeks since rain	
0.25-1	1.1	0.25	Average diameter, 3+fuels: 5.5 in 13.97 cm		Rate of Spread: medium	
1-3	2.3	0.52	Percent rotten, 3+fuels: 28 %		Intensity: medium	
Subtotal 0-3	3.6	0.81	Volume of sound 3+fuels: 458 ft ³ /ac 32.1 m ³ /ha		Torching: nil	
3-6	2.1	0.47	STAND AND SITE DATA		Crowning: nil	
6-10	2.9	0.65			Resistance to control: medium	
10-20	2.9	0.65			Overall Fire Potential MEDIUM	
20+	0	0			STAND LOCATION	
SUBTOTAL 3+	7.9	1.77	Age of overstory dominants: POTR 100 yrs THPL 80 yrs ABGR 60 yrs		National Forest: Kootenai	
TOTAL	11.5	2.58	Average slope: 3 % Aspect: southeast Elevation: 2850 ft 869 m		Ranger District: Troy	
NFDRS FUEL MODEL	STYLIZED FUEL MODEL		Remarks:		Drainage: Ross Cr.	
H	8		Fire Ecology Group Eleven		Photo taken: 6/28/78	
					By: W. C. Fischer	



DATA SHEET

Stand No. 3A

FOREST COVER TYPE: SAF NO. 227

Western redcedar - Western hemlock

MONTANA HABITAT TYPE: NO. 571

Western hemlock/queencup beadleily-queencup beadleily phase

(TSHE/CLUN-CLUN)

DOWN & DEAD WOODY FUEL LOADINGS			OTHER FUEL DATA		FIRE POTENTIAL RATING	
Size Class (Inches)	T/ac	Weight Kg/m ²				
0-0.25	0.4	0.09	Average duff depth: <u>2.9</u> in		Based on an average bad day: 85-90° temp., 15-20% R.H., 10-15 mi/h wind, 4 weeks since rain	
0.25-1	1.1	0.25	<u>7.73</u> cm		Rate of Spread: <u>low</u>	
1-3	1.5	0.34	Average diameter, 3+ fuels: <u>6.0</u> in		Intensity: <u>low</u>	
			<u>15.24</u> cm		Torching: <u>nil</u>	
			Percent rotten, 3+ fuels: <u>36</u> %		Crowning: <u>nil</u>	
			Volume of sound 3+ fuels: <u>527</u> ft ³ /ac		Resistance to control: <u>low</u>	
Subtotal 0-3	3.0	0.68	<u>36.9</u> m ³ /ha		Overall Fire Potential <u>LOW</u>	
3-6	1.8	0.40	STAND AND SITE DATA		STAND LOCATION	
6-10	4.1	0.92	Age of overstory dominants: _____ _____ _____		National Forest: <u>Kootenai</u>	
10-20	4.4	0.99			Ranger District: <u>Libby</u>	
20+	0	0			Drainage: <u>Quartz Cr.</u>	
SUBTOTAL 3+	10.3	2.31	Average slope: <u>2</u> %		Photo taken: <u>6/27/78</u>	
TOTAL	13.3	2.99	Aspect: <u>northwest</u>		By: <u>W. C. Fischer</u>	
NFDRS FUEL MODEL	STYLIZED FUEL MODEL		Elevation: <u>2830</u> ft <u>863</u> m			
H	8		Remarks: <u>Fire Ecology Group Eleven</u>			



DATA SHEET

Stand No. 13A

FOREST COVER TYPE: SAF NO. 227

Western redcedar - Western hemlock

MONTANA HABITAT TYPE: NO. 531

Western redcedar/queencup beadlily-queencup beadlily phase
(THPL/CLUN-CLUN)

DOWN & DEAD WOODY FUEL LOADINGS			OTHER FUEL DATA		FIRE POTENTIAL RATING	
Size Class (Inches)	T/ac	Weight Kg/m ²			Based on an average bad day: 85-90° temp., 15-20% R.H., 10-15 mi/h wind, 4 weeks since rain	
0-0.25	0.4	0.09	Average duff depth: <u>3.5</u> in		Rate of Spread: <u>medium</u>	
0.25-1	2.0	0.45	<u>8.89</u> cm		Intensity: <u>medium</u>	
1-3	0.9	0.20	Average diameter, 3+fuels: <u>5.3</u> in		Torching: <u>low</u>	
			<u>13.46</u> cm		Crowning: <u>low</u>	
			Percent rotten, 3+fuels: <u>67</u> %		Resistance to control: <u>medium</u>	
Subtotal 0-3	3.3	0.74	Volume of sound 3+fuels: <u>299</u> ft ³ /ac		Overall Fire Potential <u>MEDIUM</u>	
			<u>20.9</u> m ³ /ha			
3-6	3.3	0.74	STAND AND SITE DATA		STAND LOCATION	
6-10	4.7	1.05	Age of overstory dominants:		National Forest: <u>Kootenai</u>	
10-20	3.4	0.76	PSME <u>100</u> yrs		Ranger District: <u>Libby</u>	
20+	0	0	THPL <u>95</u> yrs		Drainage: <u>Quartz Cr.</u>	
			ABGR <u>85</u> yrs			
SUBTOTAL 3+	11.4	2.55	LACO <u>80</u> yrs			
TOTAL	14.7	3.29	Average slope: <u>5</u> %		Photo taken: <u>6/27/78</u>	
NFDRS FUEL MODEL	STYLIZED FUEL MODEL		Aspect: <u>southwest</u>		By: <u>W. C. Fischer</u>	
H/G	8/10		Elevation: <u>3080</u> ft <u>939</u> m			
			Remarks: <u>Fire Ecology Group Eleven</u>			



DATA SHEET

Stand No. 6A

FOREST COVER TYPE: SAF NO. 227, Western redcedar - Western hemlock
 MONTANA HABITAT TYPE: NO. 531, Western redcedar/queencup beaddlily-queencup beaddlily phase
 (THPL/CLUN-CLUN)

DOWN & DEAD WOODY FUEL LOADINGS			OTHER FUEL DATA		FIRE POTENTIAL RATING	
Size Class (Inches)	T/ac	Weight Kg/m ²			Based on an average bad day: 85-90° temp., 15-20% R.H., 10-15 mi/h wind, 4 weeks since rain	
0-0.25	0.4	0.09	Average duff depth: <u>3.1</u> in		Rate of Spread: <u>medium</u>	
0.25-1	1.7	0.38	<u>7.87</u> cm		Intensity: <u>low</u>	
1-3	1.1	0.25	Average diameter, 3+fuels: <u>6.5</u> in		Torching: <u>low</u>	
			<u>16.51</u> cm		Crowning: <u>nil</u>	
			Percent rotten, 3+fuels: <u>86</u> %		Resistance to control: <u>medium</u>	
Subtotal 0-3	3.2	0.72	Volume of sound 3+fuels: <u>152</u> ft ³ /ac		Overall Fire Potential <u>LOW</u>	
			<u>10.6</u> m ³ /ha			
3-6	2.2	0.49	STAND AND SITE DATA		STAND LOCATION	
6-10	2.8	0.63	Age of overstory dominants: TSHE <u>200</u> yrs		National Forest: <u>Kootenai</u>	
10-20	4.2	0.94			Ranger District: <u>Libby</u>	
20+	4.6	1.03			Drainage: <u>W. Fk. Quartz Cr.</u>	
SUBTOTAL 3+	13.8	3.09	Average slope: <u>29</u> %		Photo taken: <u>6/27/78</u>	
			Aspect: <u>northwest</u>		By: <u>W. C. Fischer</u>	
			Elevation: <u>3080</u> ft <u>939</u> m			
TOTAL	17.0	3.81	Remarks: <u>Fire Ecology Group Eleven</u>			
NFDRS FUEL MODEL		STYLIZED FUEL MODEL				
H		8				



DATA SHEET

Stand No. 18A

FOREST COVER TYPE: SAF NO. 227, Western redcedar - Western hemlock

MONTANA HABITAT TYPE: NO. 531, Western redcedar/queencup beadlily-queencup beadlily phase
(THPL/CLUN-CLUN)



DATA SHEET

Stand No. 10A

FOREST COVER TYPE: SAF NO. 227, Western redcedar - Western hemlock

MONTANA HABITAT TYPE: NO. 572, Western hemlock/queencup beadlily-wild sarsaparilla phase
(TSHE/CLUN-ARNU)

DOWN & DEAD WOODY FUEL LOADINGS			OTHER FUEL DATA		FIRE POTENTIAL RATING	
Size Class (Inches)	T/ac	Weight Kg/m ²	Average duff depth: <u>4.0</u> in <u>10.16</u> cm		Based on an average bad day: 85-90° temp., 15-20% R.H., 10-15 mi/h wind, 4 weeks since rain	
0-0.25	0.4	0.09	Average diameter, 3+fuels: <u>6.8</u> in <u>17.27</u> cm		Rate of Spread: <u>medium</u>	
0.25-1	1.5	0.34	Percent rotten, 3+fuels: <u>46</u> %		Intensity: <u>medium</u>	
1-3	1.4	0.31	Volume of sound 3+fuels: <u>641</u> ft ³ /ac <u>44.9</u> m ³ /ha		Torching: <u>medium</u>	
Subtotal 0-3					Crowning: <u>low</u>	
	3.3	0.74			Resistance to control: <u>low</u>	
3-6	1.4	0.31			Overall Fire Potential <u>MEDIUM</u>	
6-10	6.8	1.52				
10-20	4.6	1.03				
20+	1.9	0.43				
SUBTOTAL 3+						
	14.7	3.29				
TOTAL						
	18.0	4.03				
NFDRS FUEL MODEL		STYLIZED FUEL MODEL	STAND AND SITE DATA		STAND LOCATION	
			Age of overstory dominants: <u>LACO</u> <u>125 yrs</u> <u>THPL</u> <u>85 yrs</u> <u>ABGR</u> <u>85 yrs</u>		National Forest: <u>Kootenai</u>	
			Average slope: <u>2</u> % Aspect: <u>southwest</u> Elevation: <u>3000</u> ft <u>914</u> m		Ranger District: <u>Libby</u>	
			Remarks: _____		Drainage: <u>W. Fk. Quartz Cr.</u>	
			Fire Ecology Group Eleven		Photo taken: <u>6/27/78</u>	
					By: <u>W. C. Fischer</u>	
G		10				



DATA SHEET

Stand No. 7A

FOREST COVER TYPE: SAF NO. 227, Western redcedar - Western hemlock
MONTANA HABITAT TYPE: NO. 531, Western redcedar/queencup beadlily-queencup beadlily phase
(THPL/CLUN-CLUN)

DOWN & DEAD WOODY FUEL LOADINGS			OTHER FUEL DATA		FIRE POTENTIAL RATING	
Size Class (Inches)	T/ac	Weight Kg/m ²	Average duff depth:	<u>3.5</u> in <u>8.89</u> cm	Based on an average bad day: 85-90° temp., 15-20% R.H., 10-15 mi/h wind, 4 weeks since rain	
0-0.25	0.4	0.09	Average diameter, 3+fuels:	<u>6.5</u> in <u>16.51</u> cm	Rate of Spread: <u>low</u>	
0.25-1	1.7	0.38	Percent rotten, 3+fuels:	<u>45</u> %	Intensity: <u>medium</u>	
1-3	3.2	0.72	Volume of sound 3+fuels:	<u>625</u> ft ³ /ac <u>43.7</u> m ³ /ha	Torching: <u>low</u>	
Subtotal 0-3	5.3	1.19	STAND AND SITE DATA		Crowning: <u>nil</u>	
3-6	1.7	0.38			Resistance to control: <u>medium</u>	
6-10	7.9	1.77	Age of overstory dominants: THPL <u>210</u> yrs PIMO <u>140</u> yrs TSHE <u>140</u> yrs		Overall Fire Potential <u>MEDIUM</u>	
10-20	4.6	1.03			STAND LOCATION	
20+	0	0	Average slope: <u>4</u> % Aspect: <u>northwest</u> Elevation: <u>2720</u> ft <u>829</u> m		National Forest: <u>Kootenai</u>	
SUBTOTAL 3+	14.2	3.18			Ranger District: <u>Libby</u>	
TOTAL	19.5	4.37	Remarks: <u>Fire Ecology Group Eleven</u>		Drainage: <u>W. Fk. Quartz Cr.</u>	
NFDRS FUEL MODEL	STYLIZED FUEL MODEL				Photo taken: <u>6/27/78</u>	
H/G	8/10				By: <u>W. C. Fischer</u>	



DATA SHEET

Stand No. 19A

FOREST COVER TYPE: SAF NO. 227, Wester redcedar - Wester hemlock
MONTANA HABITAT TYPE: NO. 571, Western hemlock/queencup beadrily-queencup beadrily phase
(TSHE/CLUN-CLUN)

DOWN & DEAD WOODY FUEL LOADINGS			OTHER FUEL DATA		FIRE POTENTIAL RATING	
Size Class (Inches)	T/ac	Weight Kg/m ²	Average duff depth: 1.5 in 3.81 cm		Based on an average bad day: 85-90 ° temp., 15-20% R.H., 10-15 mi/h wind, 4 weeks since rain	
0-0.25	0.3	0.07	Average diameter, 3+fuels: 5.1 in 12.95 cm		Rate of Spread: low	
0.25-1	1.2	0.27	Percent rotten, 3+fuels: 21 %		Intensity: low	
1-3	1.6	0.36	Volume of sound 3+fuels: 1096 ft ³ /ac 76.7 m ³ /ha		Torching: low	
Subtotal 0-3 3.1 0.70			STAND AND SITE DATA		Crowning: low	
3-6	5.3	1.19	Age of overstory dominants: THPL 85 yrs		Resistance to control: low	
6-10	9.5	2.13	LACO 85 yrs		Overall Fire Potential LOW	
10-20	2.5	0.56	TSHE 80 yrs		STAND LOCATION	
20+	0	0	ABGR 70 yrs		National Forest: Kootenai	
SUBTOTAL 3+ 17.3 3.88			Average slope: 2 %		Ranger District: Troy	
TOTAL 20.4 4.58			Aspect: southeast		Drainage: Ross Cr.	
NFDRS FUEL MODEL			Elevation: 2590 ft 789 m		Photo taken: 6/28/78	
STYLIZED FUEL MODEL			Remarks:		By: W. C. Fischer	
H			8		Fire Ecology Group Eleven	



DATA SHEET

Stand No. 11A

FOREST COVER TYPE: SAF NO. 227

Western redcedar - Western hemlock

MONTANA HABITAT TYPE: NO. 532

Western redcedar/queencup beadlily-wild sarsparilla

(THPL/CLUN-ARUV)

DOWN & DEAD WOODY FUEL LOADINGS			OTHER FUEL DATA		FIRE POTENTIAL RATING	
Size Class (Inches)	T/ac	Weight Kg/m ²	Average duff depth: <u>2.4</u> in <u>6.10</u> cm		Based on an average bad day: 85-90° temp., 15-20% R.H., 10-15 mi/h wind, 4 weeks since rain	
0-0.25	0.4	0.09	Average diameter, 3+fuels: <u>7.6</u> in <u>19.30</u> cm		Rate of Spread: <u>low</u>	
0.25-1	1.2	0.27	Percent rotten, 3+fuels: <u>48</u> %		Intensity: <u>low</u>	
1-3	1.1	0.25	Volume of sound 3+fuels: <u>754</u> ft ³ /ac <u>52.8</u> m ³ /ha		Torching: <u>low</u>	
Subtotal 0-3	2.7	0.61	STAND AND SITE DATA		Crowning: <u>low</u>	
3-6	1.1	0.25	Age of overstory dominants: ABGR <u>120</u> yrs		Resistance to control: <u>low</u>	
6-10	7.0	1.57	THPL <u>108</u> yrs		Overall Fire Potential <u>LOW</u>	
10-20	8.6	1.93	PSME <u>103</u> yrs		STAND LOCATION	
20+	1.4	0.31	Average slope: <u>3</u> % Aspect: <u>southwest</u> Elevation: <u>2950</u> ft <u>899</u> m		National Forest: <u>Kootenai</u>	
SUBTOTAL 3+	18.1	4.06	Remarks: <u>Fire Ecology Group Eleven</u>		Ranger District: <u>Libby</u>	
TOTAL	20.8	4.66			Drainage: <u>Quartz Cr.</u>	
NFDRS FUEL MODEL	STYLIZED FUEL MODEL				Photo taken: <u>6/27/78</u>	
H	8				By: <u>W. C. Fischer</u>	



DATA SHEET

Stand No. 5A

FOREST COVER TYPE: SAF NO. 224 Western hemlock
MONTANA HABITAT TYPE: NO. 571 Western hemlock/queencup beadlily-queencup beadlily phase
(TSHE/CLUN-CLUN)

DOWN & DEAD WOODY FUEL LOADINGS			OTHER FUEL DATA		FIRE POTENTIAL RATING	
Size Class (Inches)	T/ac	Weight Kg/m ²			Based on an average bad day: 85-90° temp., 15-20% R.H., 10-15 mi/h wind, 4 weeks since rain	
0-0.25	0.6	0.13	Average duff depth: <u>2.2</u> in <u>5.59</u> cm		Rate of Spread: <u>medium</u>	
0.25-1	1.2	0.27	Average diameter, 3+fuels: <u>5.6</u> in <u>14.22</u> cm		Intensity: <u>medium</u>	
1-3	0.8	0.18	Percent rotten, 3+fuels: <u>35</u> %		Torching: <u>low</u>	
Subtotal 0-3	2.6	0.58	Volume of sound 3+fuels: <u>1093</u> ft ³ /ac <u>76.5</u> m ³ /ha		Crowning: <u>low</u>	
3-6	4.7	1.05	STAND AND SITE DATA		Resistance to control: <u>high</u>	
6-10	15.5	3.47			Overall Fire Potential <u>MEDIUM</u>	
10-20	0.9	0.20			STAND LOCATION	
20+	0	0			National Forest: <u>Kootenai</u>	
SUBTOTAL 3+	21.1	4.72	Age of overstory dominants: TSHE <u>95</u> yrs PIMO <u>85</u> yrs		Ranger District: <u>Libby</u>	
TOTAL	23.7	5.30	Average slope: <u>2</u> % Aspect: <u>southwest</u> Elevation: <u>2770</u> ft <u>844</u> m		Drainage: <u>W. Fk. Quartz Cr.</u>	
NFDRS FUEL MODEL	STYLIZED FUEL MODEL		Remarks: <u>Fire Ecology Group Eleven</u>		Photo taken: <u>6/27/78</u>	
G	10				By: <u>W. C. Fischer</u>	



DATA SHEET

Stand No. 8A

FOREST COVER TYPE: SAF NO. 227, Western redcedar - Western hemlock

MONTANA HABITAT TYPE: NO. 571, Western hemlock/queencup beadlily-queencup beadlily phase
(TSHE/CLUN-CLUN)

DOWN & DEAD WOODY FUEL LOADINGS			OTHER FUEL DATA		FIRE POTENTIAL RATING	
Size Class (Inches)	T/ac	Weight Kg/m ²	Average duff depth: 3.0 in 7.62 cm		Based on an average bad day: 85-90 ° temp., 15-20% R.H., 10-15 mi/h wind, 4 weeks since rain	
0-0.25	0.7	0.16	Average diameter, 3+fuels: 5.6 in		Rate of Spread: medium	
0.25-1	2.1	0.47	14.22 cm		Intensity: high	
1-3	3.1	0.69	Percent rotten, 3+fuels: 61 %		Torching: low	
			Volume of sound 3+fuels: 648 ft ³ /ac		Crowning: low	
			45.3 m ³ /ha		Resistance to control: high	
Subtotal 0-3					Overall Fire Potential HIGH	
			STAND AND SITE DATA		STAND LOCATION	
3-6			Age of overstory dominants: THPL 210 yrs		National Forest: Kootenai	
6-10			TSHE 119 yrs		Ranger District: Libby	
10-20					Drainage: W. Fk. Quartz Cr.	
20+					Photo taken: 6/27/78	
SUBTOTAL 3+			Average slope: 30 %		By: W. C. Fischer	
TOTAL			Aspect: northwest			
			Elevation: 2750 ft 838 m			
			Remarks: _____			
			Fire Ecology Group Eleven			
NFDRS FUEL MODEL		STYLIZED FUEL MODEL				
G		10				



DATA SHEET

Stand No. 16A

FOREST COVER TYPE: SAF NO. 227 Western redcedar - Western hemlock

MONTANA HABITAT TYPE: NO. 571 Western hemlock/queencup beadlily-queencup beadlily phase
(TSHE/CLUN-CLUN)

DOWN & DEAD WOODY FUEL LOADINGS			OTHER FUEL DATA		FIRE POTENTIAL RATING	
Size Class (Inches)	T/ac	Weight Kg/m ²				
0-0.25	0.6	0.13	Average duff depth: 5.3 in 13.46 cm		Based on an average bad day: 85-90° temp., 15-20% R.H., 10-15 mi/h wind, 4 weeks since rain	
0.25-1	2.2	0.49	Average diameter, 3+fuels: 5.1 in 12.95 cm		Rate of Spread: medium	
1-3	2.5	0.56	Percent rotten, 3+fuels: 40 %		Intensity: medium	
Subtotal 0-3	5.3	1.18	Volume of sound 3+fuels: 1185 ft ³ /ac 82.9 m ³ /ha		Torching: low	
3-6	9.3	2.08	STAND AND SITE DATA		Crowning: low	
6-10	21.1	2.71			Resistance to control: medium	
10-20	3.2	0.72	Age of overstory dominants: PICEA 85 yrs TSHE 75 yrs ABGR 75 yrs		Overall Fire Potential MEDIUM	
20+	0	0			STAND LOCATION	
SUBTOTAL 3+	24.6	5.51	Average slope: 2 % Aspect: northwest Elevation: 2880 ft 878 m		National Forest: Kootenai	
TOTAL	29.9	6.69	Remarks: Natural Area Fire Ecology Group Eleven		Ranger District: Troy	
NFDRS FUEL MODEL	STYLIZED FUEL MODEL				Drainage: Ross Cr.	
G	10				Photo taken: 6/28/78	
					By: W. C. Fischer	



DATA SHEET

Stand No. 15A

FOREST COVER TYPE: SAF NO. 227 Western redcedar - Western hemlock

MONTANA HABITAT TYPE: NO. 550 Western redcedar/devil's club (THPL/OPHP)

DOWN & DEAD WOODY FUEL LOADINGS			OTHER FUEL DATA		FIRE POTENTIAL RATING		
Size Class (Inches)	T/ac	Weight Kg/m ²					
0-0.25	0.2	0.04	Average duff depth: <u>2.8</u> in <u>7.11</u> cm		Based on an average bad day: 85-90 ° temp., 15-20% R.H., 10-15 mi/h wind, 4 weeks since rain		
0.25-1	1.1	0.25	Average diameter, 3+ fuels: <u>16.6</u> in <u>42.16</u> cm		Rate of Spread: <u>low</u>		
1-3	1.0	0.22	Percent rotten, 3+ fuels: <u>40</u> %		Intensity: <u>low</u>		
Subtotal 0-3	2.3	0.51	Volume of sound 3+ fuels: <u>2647</u> ft ³ /ac <u>185.2</u> m ³ /ha		Torching: <u>nil</u>		
3-6	0.4	0.09	STAND AND SITE DATA Age of overstory dominants: <u>THPL</u> <u>400+ yrs</u> _____ _____ _____ _____ _____ Average slope: <u>2</u> % Aspect: <u>northeast</u> Elevation: <u>2860</u> ft <u>872</u> m Remarks: <u>Natural Area</u> <u>Fire Ecology Group Eleven</u> _____ _____		Crowning: <u>nil</u>		
6-10	1.3	0.29			Resistance to control: <u>medium</u>		
10-20	3.2	0.72			Overall Fire Potential <u>LOW</u>		
20+	50.4	11.30			STAND LOCATION		
SUBTOTAL 3+	55.3	12.40			National Forest: <u>Kootenai</u>		
TOTAL	57.6	12.91	Ranger District: <u>Troy</u>		Drainage: <u>Ross Cr.</u>		
NFDRS FUEL MODEL		STYLIZED FUEL MODEL		Photo taken: <u>6/28/78</u>		By: <u>W. C. Fischer</u>	
G		10					

Fischer, William C.

1981. Photo guide for appraising downed woody fuels in Montana forests: Grand fir - larch - Douglas-fir, western hemlock, western redcedar - western hemlock, and western redcedar cover types. USDA For. Serv. Gen. Tech. Rep. INT-96, 53p. Intermt. For. and Range Exp. Stn., Ogden, Utah 84401.

Four series of color photographs show different levels of downed woody material resulting from natural processes in four forest cover types in Montana. Each photo is supplemented by fuel inventory data and potential fire behavior ratings.

KEYWORDS: forest fuels, fire behavior, fire hazard, fuel appraisal

The Intermountain Station, headquartered in Ogden, Utah, is one of eight regional experiment stations charged with providing scientific knowledge to help resource managers meet human needs and protect forest and range ecosystems.

The Intermountain Station includes the States of Montana, Idaho, Utah, Nevada, and western Wyoming. About 231 million acres, or 85 percent, of the land area in the Station territory are classified as forest and rangeland. These lands include grasslands, deserts, shrublands, alpine areas, and well-stocked forests. They supply fiber for forest industries; minerals for energy and industrial development; and water for domestic and industrial consumption. They also provide recreation opportunities for millions of visitors each year.

Field programs and research work units of the Station are maintained in:

Boise, Idaho

Bozeman, Montana (in cooperation with Montana State University)

Logan, Utah (in cooperation with Utah State University)

Missoula, Montana (in cooperation with the University of Montana)

Moscow, Idaho (in cooperation with the University of Idaho)

Provo, Utah (in cooperation with Brigham Young University)

Reno, Nevada (in cooperation with the University of Nevada)

