Environmental Research Letters

CrossMark

OPEN ACCESS

RECEIVED 8 June 2020

REVISED

6 September 2020
ACCEPTED FOR PUBLICATION

18 September 2020 PUBLISHED

13 October 2020

Original content from this work may be used under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 licence.

Any further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the title of the work, journal citation and DOI.

۲



Kimberley T Davis¹¹, Philip E Higuera¹, Solomon Z Dobrowski², Sean A Parks³, John T Abatzoglou⁴, Monica T Rother⁵ and Thomas T Veblen⁶

- Department of Ecosystem and Conservation Sciences, W.A. Franke College of Forestry and Conservation, University of Montana, 32 Campus Dr, Missoula, MT 59812, United States of America
- Department of Forest Management, W.A. Franke College of Forestry and Conservation, University of Montana, 32 Campus Dr., Missoula, MT 59812, United States of America
- Aldo Leopold Wilderness Research Institute, Rocky Mountain Research Station, USDA Forest Service, 790 E Beckwith Ave., Missoula, MT 59801, United States of America
- Management of Complex Systems, College of Engineering, University of California, Merced, 5200 Lake Rd., Merced, CA 95343, United States of America
- ⁵ Department of Environmental Sciences, University of North Carolina-Wilmington, 601 South College Road, Wilmington, NC 28403, United States of America
- ⁶ Department of Geography, University of Colorado-Boulder, Campus Box 260, Boulder, CO 80309, United States of America

E-mail: kimberley.davis@umontana.edu

Keywords: Douglas-fir, fire-catalyzed, ponderosa pine, post-fire, tree regeneration, vegetation transition, wildfire Supplementary material for this article is available online

Abstract

LETTER

Increased wildfire activity combined with warm and dry post-fire conditions may undermine the mechanisms maintaining forest resilience to wildfires, potentially causing ecosystem transitions, or fire-catalyzed vegetation shifts. Stand-replacing fire is especially likely to catalyze vegetation shifts expected from climate change, by killing mature trees that are less sensitive to climate than juveniles. To understand the vulnerability of forests to fire-catalyzed vegetation shifts it is critical to identify both where fires will burn with stand-replacing severity and where climate conditions limit seedling recruitment. We used an extensive dendrochronological dataset to model the influence of seasonal climate on post-fire recruitment probability for ponderosa pine and Douglas-fir. We applied this model to project annual recruitment probability in the US intermountain west under contemporary and future climate conditions, which we compared to modeled probability of stand-replacing fire. We categorized areas as 'vulnerable to fire-catalyzed vegetation shifts,' if they were likely to burn at stand-replacing severity, if a fire were to occur, and had post-fire climate conditions unsuitable for tree recruitment. Climate suitability for recruitment declined over time in all ecoregions: 21% and 15% of the range of ponderosa pine and Douglas-fir, respectively, had climate conditions unsuitable for recruitment in the 1980s, whereas these values increased to 61% (ponderosa pine) and 34% (Douglas-fir) for the future climate scenario. Less area was vulnerable to fire-catalyzed vegetation shifts, but these values also increased over time, from 6% and 4% of the range of ponderosa pine and Douglas-fir in the 1980s, to 16% (ponderosa pine) and 10% (Douglas-fir) under the future climate scenario. Southern ecoregions had considerably higher vulnerability to fire-catalyzed vegetation shifts than northern ecoregions. Overall, our results suggest that the combination of climate warming and an increase in wildfire activity may substantially impact species distributions through fire-catalyzed vegetation shifts.

1. Introduction

The combination of changing climate and altered disturbance regimes are leading to substantial impacts on forests globally (Johnstone *et al* 2016, Seidl *et al* 2017). In the western US, warming is affecting forest ecosystems directly, through controls on tree recruitment, growth, and mortality (van Mantgem *et al* 2009, Restaino *et al* 2016, Davis *et al* 2019a), and indirectly, through an increased frequency and extent of tree-killing disturbances such as wildfires (Abatzoglou and Williams 2016, Littell *et al* 2018). Area

Kimberley T Davis et al

burned by wildfires, and in some regions the proportion of area burning at high severity, has increased substantially in recent decades (Miller et al 2009, Dennison et al 2014, Harvey et al 2016, Westerling 2016, Singleton et al 2019). These changes in wildfire activity, combined with warm, dry post-fire conditions, may undermine the longstanding resilience of forest ecosystems to wildfires, resulting in ecosystem transitions (Johnstone et al 2016, Davis et al 2018) or 'fire-catalyzed vegetation shifts.' We define firecatalyzed vegetation shifts as a major change in dominant species or lifeforms (e.g. shifts from one forest type to another, or from forest to non-forest vegetation) that is expected due to climate change alone, but which is accelerated due to wildfire. Fire-catalyzed vegetation shifts will significantly impact the ecosystem services and economic values provided by forests in the western US (Coop et al 2020).

Stand-replacing fire can catalyze vegetation shifts during directional climate change that would otherwise take decades or centuries to unfold (Gavin *et al* 2013, Crausbay *et al* 2017) by killing mature trees that are less sensitive to warm, dry conditions than juveniles of the same species (Bell *et al* 2014, Dobrowski *et al* 2015). This discrepancy in climate tolerance between juveniles and adults, combined with the long lifespan of many tree species, can result in plantclimate disequilibrium (Svenning and Sandel 2013), where the dominant mature trees on a landscape do not reflect current climate conditions (e.g. Campbell and Shinneman 2017, Serra-Diaz *et al* 2018).

Assessing forest vulnerability to fire-catalyzed vegetation shifts requires quantifying both the likelihood of stand-replacing fire and the potential for climate to limit post-fire regeneration. Efforts to quantify the potential for fire-catalyzed vegetation shifts under current or future climate conditions are sparse (Coop *et al* 2020). However, results from the few studies conducted suggest that fire-catalyzed vegetation shifts could be an important contributor to the reorganization of species expected due to climate change (Liang *et al* 2017, Serra-Diaz *et al* 2018, Stralberg *et al* 2018, Parks *et al* 2019).

Failure of post-fire tree regeneration due to unsuitable climate conditions is a crucial component of fire-catalyzed vegetation shifts, yet empirical models of post-fire recruitment are rarely incorporated into estimates of fire-catalyzed vegetation shifts. Low post-fire recruitment across the western US in recent decades (e.g. Savage and Mast 2005, Stevens-Rumann et al 2018, Rodman et al 2020) reflects a variety of interacting biotic and abiotic factors, including climate change (Korb et al 2019, Stevens-Rumann and Morgan 2019). However, assessing the extent to which climate conditions limit post-fire tree regeneration requires quantifying the relationship between seasonal climate conditions during the year of germination and post-fire recruitment. We recently conducted such an assessment for two conifers that are dominant in low-elevation forests of western North America, ponderosa pine (Pinus ponderosa) and Douglas-fir (Pseudotsuga menziesii). Both species have traits that facilitate survival of low-intensity surface fires, including thick bark, but ponderosa pine is considered more fire resistant than Douglasfir, due to self-pruning of lower branches and more flammable litter that promotes rapid fire spread and shorter residence times (Stevens et al 2020). Both species are vulnerable to mortality from crown fires. Ponderosa pine is shade intolerant, and in comparison, Douglas-fir is more shade-tolerant, with seedlings able to establish under and eventually overtop shrub cover, albeit with reduced growth rates (e.g. Shatford et al 2007, Tepley et al 2017). While the geographic range of the two species overlaps substantially, Douglas-fir occupies cooler and moister areas relative to ponderosa pine. In a warming field experiment, Douglas-fir seedling survival was lower in experimentally warmed plots compared to ponderosa pine (Rother et al 2015).

In our previous study, we found strong climatic thresholds to post-fire recruitment for both species. These climate thresholds have been crossed at sites near the warm, dry edge of the species' distributions in recent years, suggesting that post-fire forest recovery will be unlikely in these areas (Davis et al 2019a). The extent of forests that are vulnerable to such postfire regeneration failures, however, remains unclear. Such an assessment is critical for understanding the potential extent of fire-catalyzed ecosystem change and for developing and prioritizing possible management actions. Here we combine empirical relationships linking seasonal climate conditions to post-fire tree recruitment (sensu Davis et al 2019a) and projections of the likelihood of stand-replacing fire (Parks et al 2018) to quantify the vulnerability of ponderosa pine and Douglas-fir forests in the US intermountain west to fire-catalyzed vegetation shifts. We specifically ask: to what extent are ponderosa pine and Douglas-fir forests vulnerable to both stand-replacing fire and post-fire regeneration failure, and where is this vulnerability the highest under current and future climate?

2. Methods

2.1. Climate, fire, and tree-regeneration datasets

We used two existing datasets to quantify the components of fire-catalyzed vegetation shifts: a dataset quantifying where stand-replacing fires are likely to occur (Parks *et al* 2018), and a dataset of post-fire tree establishment dates (Rother and Veblen 2017, Davis *et al* 2019b) that allowed us to model the probability of post-fire tree regeneration. We focus on ponderosa pine and Douglas-fir because they are ecologically and economically important conifer species that are dominant in dry mixed-conifer forests across western North America.



Figure 1. Map of the study region and sample sites in the western USA which includes the intermountain ecoregions from north to south: Canadian Rocky Mountains ('Can. Rockies'), Middle Rockies-Blue Mountains ('Mid. Rockies'), Utah-Wyoming Rocky Mountains ('UT-WY Rockies'), Southern Rocky Mountains ('S. Rockies'), Colorado Plateau ('CO Plateau'), Arizona-New Mexico Mountains ('AZ-NM Mtns'), and Apache Highlands ('Apache High'). Ecoregions are outlined in black and states in gray. Abbreviations of ecoregions in following figures match those described here. The ranges of Douglas-fir ('PSME') and ponderosa pine ('PIPO') within these ecoregions are shown in gray.

To quantify where stand-replacing fires are likely to occur, we utilized a gridded dataset (Parks et al 2018) which predicts the probability of standreplacing fire (for each 30 m cell), if a fire were to occur, under the average weather conditions during which areas burned in wildfires from 2002 to 2015. The predictions are derived from statistical models for each ecoregion that describe fire severity as a function of fuels (i.e. vegetation indices derived from Landsat imagery representing live fuel), topography, fire weather, and 30-yr climate normals. We first scaled these data up from 30 m to 4 km to match the resolution of the climate data, by taking the mean probability of high-severity fire across all 30-m cells. We then categorized cells as stand-replacing or nonstand-replacing following Parks et al (2019), who used unique probability thresholds for each ecoregion to create predictions that match the proportion of area burned with stand-replacing fire between 2002 and 2015.

To quantify the probability of post-fire tree establishment, we used a dendrochronological dataset of annually resolved establishment dates for 2180 ponderosa pine and Douglas-fir seedlings and saplings that regenerated following 26 fires that occurred between 1988 and 2007 (Rother and Veblen 2017, Davis *et al* 2019b). Trees that established in fires that burned prior to 1988 were too large to destructively sample, and we avoided fires after 2007 to allow at least 9 years of post-fire regeneration. This dataset includes 39 sites in 17 fires in the northern Rockies, 10 sites in five fires in Colorado's Front Range, and 21 sites in four fires in the Southwest (figure 1). Sample sites were located at the warm, dry margin of the species' regional distributions, but still represented a large portion of the annual climate conditions experienced across the range of these species within the intermountain ecoregions (figures S1-4 (available online at stacks.iop.org/ERL/15/1040b8/ mmedia)). Selected sites burned at moderate to high severity and had no post-fire planting. Seedlings and saplings were destructively sampled, cut into 2.5-cm segments above and below the root-shoot boundary, and processed and aged using standard dendroecological methods (see Davis et al 2019a, Rother and Veblen 2017 for further details).

Monthly, 4-km resolution climate data for each site from 1981–2015 were obtained from Terraclimate (Abatzoglou *et al* 2018). Future climate data were developed using a pattern-scaling approach that superposed the multi-model median change from an ensemble of 23 climate models (Qin *et al* 2020). Spatial scaling factors for each month and variable were calculated for each model as the differences in mean and standard deviation between late 21st century (2070–2099) and pre-industrial (1850–1879) per degree Celsius change in global mean temperature. We considered a climate scenario

Variable	Time window	Final model species	Relative influence
Precipitation (sum)	October–February	_	_
	March–May	_	-
	April–September	_	-
	June–August	_	-
	Annual	_	-
Maximum temperature (mean)	March–May	_	-
	April–September*	Douglas-fir	31%
	June–August	_	-
	Warmest month*	Ponderosa pine	17%
Vapor pressure deficit (mean)	April–September	_	-
	June–August*	Ponderosa pine	15%
	Warmest month	_	-
Climatic water deficit (sum)	April–September*	Douglas-fir	8%
	June–August	_	-
	Annual	-	-

Table 1. Seasonal climate variables evaluated in boosted regression tree (BRT) models of recruitment. '*' indicates the variable was retained in the final model for one of the species, and the relative influence of those variables in the final BRT models is shown.

where the global mean temperature reaches 2 °C above pre-industrial temperatures (1.3 °C warmer than the observational period), rather than using the traditional approach tied to specific emissionspolicy trajectories. Future climate scenarios superposed projected changes in monthly scaling factors to observed data during 1985-2015 for individual climate variables. Further, we use a simple, non-speciesspecific approach to account for potential changes in plant water-use efficiency with rising CO₂ concentrations in reference evapotranspiration calculations (Kruijt et al 2008). Climate data is available here: www.climatologylab.org/terraclimate. Monthly maximum temperature, precipitation, vapor pressure deficit (VPD), and water-balance values were aggregated into seasonal and annual metrics (table 1).

2.2. Statistical analysis

To model the relationship between annual recruitment and biophysical conditions at each site, we used boosted regression trees (BRT; Elith *et al* 2008) to model the probability of recruitment as a binomial response, with 'success' representing when annual recruitment (# juveniles $ha^{-1}yr^{-1}$) exceeded a region- and species-specific density threshold (Davis *et al* 2019a). The region-specific threshold accounts for varying forest densities among regions and is defined as the 25th percentile of annual recruitment rates from among all years with recruitment for a given species in a given region (table S1). We also constructed BRT models with a 50th percentile threshold and with recruitment presence or absence alone and found similar results (figures S7–S10).

Each model contained static predictors, distance to seed source (measured in the field) and satelliteinferred fire severity for each plot (differenced normalized burn ratio (dNBR); Eidenshink *et al* 2007), and dynamic predictors that changed each year, time since fire and seasonal climate conditions. The initial models included the static variables, time since fire, and a range of seasonal windows for each climate variable (maximum temperature, precipitation, VPD, and climatic water deficit) based on hypothesized importance to seedling recruitment (table 1). Based on relative influence in the initial BRT models, we selected the best seasonal window for each climate variable and constructed a new model with these seasonal climate predictors. We then selected which climate variables to include in the final model by using the function gbm.simplify, which performs backwards elimination of variables, dropping those with no evidence of improving predictive deviance (Elith *et al* 2008, Hijmans *et al* 2017).

We used our final models for each species to project post-fire recruitment probability (4 km resolution) across the range of ponderosa pine and Douglas-fir (Ellenwood et al 2015) within intermountain ecoregions of the western US (figure 1) from 1980-2014, and 10 years of a future scenario representing a +2 °C in global average temperatures relative to pre-industrial temperatures (scaled to years 2000-2009). For all projections, distance to seed source was held constant at 50 m, and dNBR (fire severity) was held constant at 400 (median across sites); a dNBR value of 400 loosely corresponds to the median tree mortality across sites, 92%. Seasonal climate conditions varied according to climate time series for each cell (4 km) and time since fire varied from 1 to 5 years, as described below. We chose a 5year window because the majority (69%) of recruitment across all sites occurred within the first five post-fire years. For a hypothetical fire in each year, *i*, in each cell, we predicted the probability of postfire recruitment in each of the first five post-fire years (i + 1 to i + 5). The probabilities were then classified as 'recruitment' or 'lack of recruitment' based on the threshold for each model that maximized specificity and sensitivity. Then, for each year *i* we calculated the proportion of the first five post-fire years that was climatically suitable for recruitment, yielding











stand-replacing fire that tails within each post-fire recruitment index class (proportion or first rive post-fire years with clinate suitable for regeneration) in the 1980s (1980–1989), 2000s (2000–2009), and +2 °C future scenario. The proportion of each ecoregion predicted to have non-stand-replacing fire is shown in gray. Red areas represent areas most vulnerable to fire-catalyzed vegetation shifts. The post-fire recruitment index is averaged for each decade. Maps depict the spatial distribution of cells predicted to have stand-replacing fire within each ecoregion, colored by climate suitability under the +2 °C future scenario.

a value between 0 (no suitable years) and 1 (five suitable years); hereafter this is referred to as the post-fire recruitment index. Because we held distance to seed source and fire severity constant, the post-fire recruitment index is interpreted as the climate suitability for post-fire recruitment, under the given scenario. We recognize that post-fire recruitment is also influenced by other local factors that are unaccounted for in our models, including biotic interactions, such as herbivory and competition, and abiotic factors, such as substrate, topography and soil moisture (e.g. Korb *et al* 2019).

To calculate the proportion of each species' range within the intermountain west vulnerable to firecatalyzed vegetation shifts under current and future climate conditions, we intersected the projections of stand-replacing fire from Parks *et al* (2018) with our post-fire recruitment index, which we averaged by decade (1980-1989, 1990-1999, 2000-2009, 2010-2014, 10 years of the +2 °C future scenario). We considered cells to be vulnerable to fire-catalyzed vegetation shifts if the average post-fire recruitment index was less than 0.2 (i.e. on average fewer than one of the first five post-fire years were climatically suitable for regeneration) and they were predicted to burn at stand-replacing severity, if a fire were to occur. In our results, we highlight findings from three decades (1980–1989, 2000–2009, 10 years of the +2 $^{\circ}$ C future scenario) for the sake of space, see Supplemental Results for other years. Results with alternative cutoffs for the post-fire recruitment index (0 or <0.4) are also shown in tables S5 and S6. Our definition of firecatalyzed vegetation shifts is broad, as 'shifts' could encompass changes from forest to non-forest in areas



where ponderosa pine and Douglas-fir make up the largest component of lower-treeline forests, or shifts to a different forest type or woodland, where other tree species currently exist at drier sites than ponderosa pine and Douglas-fir.

3. Results

Recruitment of both species had a nonlinear relationship with seasonal climate metrics (figures S5 and S6). The seasonal climate metrics included in the final model were mean summer (June–August) VPD and maximum temperature of the warmest month for ponderosa pine and growing season (April– September) water deficit and growing season mean maximum temperature for Douglas-fir. Recruitment was also negatively related to distance to seed source, time since fire, and, for ponderosa pine, fire severity. The final models for both species had an AUC of 0.77 based on spatially stratified cross validation (by site).

Climate suitability for recruitment declined in the 2000s and the +2 $^{\circ}\mathrm{C}$ scenario compared to the 1980s in all ecoregions (figures 2, 3 and S11–S24; tables S2– S3). Across all ecoregions, 21% and 15% of the range of ponderosa pine and Douglas-fir, respectively, had climate conditions unsuitable for recruitment in the 1980s. These values increased to 32% and 20% in the 2000s, and 61% and 34% under the +2 °C future climate scenario for ponderosa pine and Douglasfir, respectively. Southern ecoregions had considerably lower climate suitability for post-fire recruitment than northern ecoregions. In contrast, northern ecoregions had a high proportion of area with climate conducive to post-fire regeneration in the 1980s and 2000s; however, there was a large decline in climate suitability under the +2 °C climate scenario.

Vulnerability to fire-catalyzed vegetation shifts increased across all ecoregions over time (tables S4 and S7-S8; figures 4-5). Across the study region, 6% and 4% of the area was vulnerable to firecatalyzed shifts in the 1980s, for ponderosa pine and Douglas-fir, respectively. These numbers increased to 16% (ponderosa pine) and 10% (Douglas-fir) under future climate conditions. The Apache Highlands and Colorado Plateau ecoregions exhibited the highest vulnerability to fire-catalyzed vegetation shifts, while the three northernmost ecoregions exhibited the lowest vulnerability. While 14%-62% of the three northernmost ecoregions exhibited climate conditions unsuitable for post-fire recruitment under future climate conditions (tables S2–S3), these areas also tended to be more likely to burn at lower severity; thus, the overall vulnerability to fire-catalyzed shifts under future climate conditions in these ecoregions ranged from 1%–10%. Within each ecoregion, the lowest elevation areas within each species' distribution tended to have the lowest climate suitability for recruitment (figures S23–S24), but vulnerability to fire-catalyzed vegetation shifts tended to peak at intermediate elevations (figures S25-S26) due to an elevational trend in the probability of stand-replacing fire (figure S27).

4. Discussion

Our results highlight the potential for fire-catalyzed vegetation shifts to significantly alter forest ecosystems. Our findings suggest that more than 10% of the range of ponderosa pine and Douglas-fir within the US intermountain west may be vulnerable to such shifts in the coming years and decades, reflecting the climatic disequilibrium between where mature trees exist today and conditions required for tree recruitment. While we estimate that 34% (Douglas-fir) and 61% (ponderosa pine) of the current range of these species will become unsuitable for post-fire regeneration under a scenario of global mean temperatures +2 °C above pre-industrial levels, the proportion of the range vulnerable to fire-catalyzed vegetation shifts is lower, at 10% and 16%, respectively. This difference reflects the assumption that mature trees will persist across much of their range, given a lack of lethal fire. Nevertheless, a loss of ponderosa pine and Douglasfir in even 10%-16% of their current range would likely have substantial impacts on the ecosystem services and economic activities provided by these widespread forest types (Coop et al 2020). Furthermore, in areas with less suitable climate for regeneration, but which are unlikely to experience stand-replacing fire, conversion to other species or vegetation types may occur due to other types of tree-killing disturbances such as insect outbreaks or drought-induced mortality (Seidl et al 2017, Lloret and Kitzberger 2018).

A key strength of our analysis is that it matches the relevant time scales of the biophysical processes linking climate to post-fire tree recruitment. Specifically, we relate annual recruitment, rather than adult presence, to seasonal climate conditions, rather than 30-year average conditions. Following standreplacing disturbance, recruitment is a critical demographic process that determines vegetation trajectories, and thus the regeneration niche is more relevant for predicting range shifts than the niche for adult survival (Jackson et al 2009). Due to the annual precision of our recruitment dataset, our projections incorporate the effects of interannual climate variability in the first five post-fire years. Interannual climate variability is particularly important when sites are close to climatic thresholds to recruitment, as such variability creates opportunities for episodic recruitment in particularly cool, wet years, even if conditions are, on average, too warm and dry for recruitment (Rother and Veblen 2017, Davis et al 2019a).

Our results indicate that the areas vulnerable to fire-catalyzed vegetation shifts are not homogenously distributed across the range of ponderosa pine and Douglas-fir. Vulnerability is substantially higher in southern ecoregions, consistent with modeling results suggesting significant forest loss in the Southwest under future climate scenarios (Tarancon et al 2014, Yazzie et al 2019), and with patterns of tree regeneration. For example, low climate suitability for ponderosa pine projected in the southern ecoregions, even in the 1980s, is consistent with observations of episodic ponderosa pine recruitment coincident with cool, wet years (Savage et al 1996, Dugan and Baker 2015) and limited conifer recruitment following recent fires in this region (e.g. Savage and Mast 2005, Coop et al 2016, Rodman et al 2020). Populations such as these at the 'trailing edge' of species ranges are often disproportionately important for the survival and evolution of species, given their high genetic diversity (Hampe and Petit 2005). Thus gene conservation efforts for ponderosa pine are arguably most warranted in the Southwest (Potter et al 2015), where we show a substantial risk of fire-catalyzed loss of ponderosa pine under both current and future conditions. Although a lower proportion of the area in northern ecoregions was vulnerable to fire-catalyzed vegetation shifts, there was a larger projected increase in vulnerability in the future than in the southern ecoregions. These results agree with studies showing that many sites in the northern Rockies were still resilient to mixed severity fire in the 2000s (Kemp et al 2016), but are projected to see declines in recruitment under future climate conditions (Kemp et al 2019).

The implications of fire-catalyzed vegetation shifts for ecosystem processes and services depends on what vegetation communities transition to in the future (Coop *et al* 2020). In some areas, firecatalyzed shifts in ponderosa pine and Douglas-fir forests may lead to non-forest vegetation, while in others it may lead to dominance of different forest types. For example, in northern ecoregions, where ponderosa pine and Douglas-fir tend to comprise lower-treeline forests, loss of these two species may indeed represent forest loss; in this case, our projections of vulnerability to fire-catalyzed shifts are similar to previous projections of fire-catalyzed forest loss by Parks *et al* (2019). In contrast, in the three southernmost ecoregions, lower treeline is dominated by different forest or woodland types, including piñon pine (*Pinus* spp.) and juniper (*Juniperus* spp.), such that loss of ponderosa pine or Douglas-fir may not represent a loss of forest or woodland vegetation; consequently, our results suggest a higher proportion of area vulnerable to loss of ponderosa pine and Douglas-fir following fire than Parks *et al* (2019) predict as vulnerable to overall forest loss.

We found that vulnerability to fire-catalyzed species loss, locally, was greater for ponderosa pine compared to Douglas-fir overall (table S4), largely driven by differences in the northern ecoregions, and in agreement with niche models based on current adult distributions (Rehfeldt et al 2014a). The difference between species likely reflects the broad ecological amplitude of Douglas-fir, which can tolerate cooler and wetter conditions than ponderosa pine, but which is also fairly tolerant of moisture stress (Rehfeldt et al 2008). The current range of Douglasfir extends into moister and cooler areas than that of ponderosa pine, which are more likely to maintain suitable climate for recruitment in the future. Consequently, ponderosa pine may need to disperse farther than Douglas-fir from its current range to encounter climate conditions suitable for regeneration in the future. Our results are indicative of the potential for fire-catalyzed range contraction at the warm dry margins of the current distribution of ponderosa pine and Douglas-fir; importantly, they do not capture the potential for fire to catalyze range expansion along the cooler and wetter range margins of these species (e.g. by reducing competition with existing vegetation). Some models predict fire will catalyze range expansion due to climate change (Stralberg et al 2018), while others suggest that dispersal may limit expansion into burned areas (Campbell and Shinneman 2017).

Our results should be interpreted in light of four main constraints. First, the climate data we used are at a relatively coarse resolution and therefore do not account for small-scale variability in climate due to topography (Dobrowski 2011) or canopy cover (Davis *et al* 2019c). Thus, even in an area that we model as having low recruitment probability, there may be microsites where recruitment probability is higher, and likewise, some areas projected to have high recruitment probability (e.g. southfacing slopes). Second, sample sites represent warm, dry areas of the species' local distributions; thus, projections of recruitment in colder and wetter areas are not as reliable (figures S1–S4). There was also some extrapolation to warmer conditions when making projections under the +2 °C climate scenario (figures S1-4); however, because the marginal relationships with climate flatten near climate extremes (figures S5 and S6), projections under warmer conditions than experienced in the past may overpredict recruitment probabilities. Third, we used fire severity projections under 'average weather conditions in which fires burn,' which likely underestimates the potential for fire-catalyzed vegetation shifts in the future, given that stand-replacing fires are more likely under the more extreme weather conditions expected in the future (Tang et al 2015, Parks et al 2018). Projections of fire severity assumed fuel loads from 2016, which may change over time, but given that our +2 °C climate scenario is expected to be realized mid-century, we do not expect large-scale shifts in forest structure and composition in the absence of stand-replacing disturbance. Finally, we held distance to seed source and fire severity constant across our projections of recruitment probabilities. The spatial patterns of our results are consistent across different levels of distance to seed source and fire severity, but the absolute values change. Our results highlight where climate limitation to recruitment may drive fire-catalyzed loss of ponderosa pine and Douglas-fir, but seed limitation and short-interval fires also have the potential to drive fire-catalyzed vegetation shifts, even where climate is suitable for recruitment (Davis *et al* 2018, Coop *et al* 2020).

4.1. Management implications and conclusions

Our results have direct implications for management aimed at maintaining ponderosa pine and Douglasfir forests in the US intermountain west. For example, within most regions, particularly in the northern ecoregions, we expect that some areas will retain climate suitable for post-fire regeneration of these two species, even under the +2 °C climate scenario. In these areas, post-fire management can focus on reforestation where a seed source is lacking. Where climate is marginal for post-fire regeneration, managers conducting post-fire planting to maintain ponderosa pine and Douglas-fir on the landscape may consider implementing assisted gene flow (Aitken and Whitlock 2013) by planting seeds from warmer and drier sites (Rehfeldt et al 2014b), although uncertainties remain regarding the effectiveness of this strategy (Young et al 2020). In areas identified as climatically unsuitable for regeneration, it may be beneficial to emphasize pre-fire efforts to limit the occurrence of lethal fire or the size of stand-replacing patches (e.g. fuel reduction treatments), especially in areas where maintaining forest cover is particularly critical. However, stand-replacing fire is inevitable in at least some sites with climate unsuitable for regeneration, and in these areas, maintenance of ponderosa pine and Douglas-fir will be challenging, if not impossible. Managers will need to weigh

the acceptability of a vegetation transition versus the potentially high costs of mitigation (Higuera *et al* 2019). Where high mitigation costs are untenable, but forest is desired to achieve management goals, managers may need to consider planting species that are adapted to warmer and drier conditions than ponderosa pine and Douglas-fir, particularly in southern ecoregions and at low-elevation sites. In other situations, it may be desirable to accept or even facilitate fire-driven vegetation shifts (Mcwethy *et al* 2019), given that new vegetation types may actually be more resilient to future climate and fire conditions (Coop *et al* 2016).

Our results highlight the potential for the combinedinfluences of climate warming and an increase in wildfire activity to substantially impact species distributions through fire-catalyzed vegetation shifts. Significant portions of ponderosa pine and Douglas-fir forests in the US intermountain west are vulnerable to fire-catalyzed vegetation shifts, and this vulnerability is projected to increase in upcoming decades as fires continue to burn more area under warmer, drier climate conditions (Abatzoglou and Williams 2016, Littell et al 2018). Given that regeneration of other tree species is also limited by warm, dry conditions (e.g. Conlisk et al 2017, Andrus et al 2018), fire-catalyzed vegetation shifts may also become more prevalent in other forest types (Coop et al 2020). Managers and society should expect changes, and prioritize responses accordingly, to best maintain and support services provided by forest ecosystems.

Acknowledgments

KTD, PEH, and SZD were funded by the Joint Fire Science Program project # 16-1-01-15. KTD and SZD were also funded by the National Science Foundation (NSF) grant BCS 1461576. SZD was also funded by the NASA Ecological Forecasting program grant 80NSSC19K00181. TTV and MTR were funded by NSF grants BCS-1232997 and OISE-0966472. We thank L. Hankin, S. Pracht, E. Berglund and L. Crofutt for assistance with field and lab work. We thank the many U.S. Forest Service employees who assisted with obtaining permits and provided information about local fires and management history, and we thank K. Kemp for sharing data.

Data availability statement

The data that support the findings of this study are openly available at the following URL/DOI: https://doi.org/10.5061/dryad.pc3f9d8.

ORCID iDs

Kimberley T Davis () https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9727-374X Philip E Higuera b https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5396-9956

Sean A Parks b https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2982-5255

John T Abatzoglou in https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7599-9750

References

- Abatzoglou J T, Dobrowski S Z, Parks S A and Hegewisch K C 2018 TerraClimate, a high-resolution global dataset of monthly climate and climatic water balance from 1958-2015 *Sci. Data* 5 170191
- Abatzoglou J T and Williams A P 2016 Impact of anthropogenic climate change on wildfire across western US forests *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **113** 11770–5
- Aitken S N and Whitlock M C 2013 Assisted gene flow to facilitate local adaptation to climate change *Annu. Rev. Ecol. Evol. Syst.* 44 367–88
- Andrus R A, Harvey B J, Rodman K C, Hart S J and Veblen T T 2018 Moisture availability limits subalpine tree establishment *Ecology* 99 567–75
- Bell D M, Bradford J B and Lauenroth W K 2014 Early indicators of change: divergent climate envelopes between tree life stages imply range shifts in the western United States *Global Ecol. Biogeogr.* **23** 168–80
- Campbell J L and Shinneman D 2017 Potential influence of wildfire in modulating climate-induced forest redistribution in a central Rocky Mountain landscape *Ecol. Processes* **6** 1–17
- Conlisk E, Castanha C, Germino M J, Veblen T T, Smith J M, Moyes A B and Kueppers L M 2017 Seed origin and warming constrain lodgepole pine recruitment, slowing the pace of population range shifts *Global Change Biol.* **24** 197–211
- Coop J D *et al* 2020 Wildfire-driven forest conversion in western North American landscapes *Bioscience* **70** 659–73
- Coop J D, Parks S A, Mcclernan S R and Holsinger L M 2016 Influences of prior wildfires on vegetation response to subsequent fire in a reburned Southwestern landscape *Ecol. Appl.* 26 346–54
- Crausbay S D, Higuera P E, Sprugel D G and Brubaker L B 2017 Fire catalyzed rapid ecological change in lowland coniferous forests of the Pacific Northwest over the past 14,000 years *Ecology* **98** 2356–69
- Davis K T, Dobrowski S Z, Higuera P E, Holden Z A, Veblen T T, Rother M T, Parks S A, Sala A and Maneta M P 2019a Wildfires and climate change push low-elevation forests across a critical climate threshold for tree regeneration *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **116** 6193–8
- Davis K T, Dobrowski S Z, Higuera P E, Holden Z A, Veblen T T, Rother M T, Parks S A, Sala A and Maneta M P 2019b Wildfires and climate change push low-elevation forests across a critical climate threshold for tree regeneration *PNAS* 116 6193–8
- Davis K T, Dobrowski S Z, Holden Z A, Higuera P E and Abatzoglou J T 2019c Microclimatic buffering in forests of the future: the role of local water balance *Ecography* **42** 1–11
- Davis K T, Higuera P E and Sala A 2018 Anticipating fire-mediated impacts of climate change using a demographic framework *Funct. Ecol.* **32** 1729–45
- Dennison P E, Brewer S C, Arnold J D and Moritz M A 2014 Large wildfire trends in the western United States, 1984-2011 *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **41** 2928–33
- Dobrowski S Z 2011 A climatic basis for microrefugia: the influence of terrain on climate *Global Change Biol.* 17 1022–35
- Dobrowski S Z, Swanson A K, Abatzoglou J T, Holden Z A, Safford H D, Schwartz M K and Gavin D G 2015 Forest structure and species traits mediate projected recruitment declines in western US tree species *Global Ecol. Biogeogr.* 24 917–27

- Dugan A J and Baker W L 2015 Sequentially contingent fires, droughts and pluvials structured a historical dry forest landscape and suggest future contingencies J. Veg. Sci. 26 697–710
- Eidenshink J, Schwind B, Brewer K, Zhu Z L, Quayle B and Howard S 2007 A project for monitoring trends in burn severity *Fire Ecol.* **3** 3–21
- Elith J, Leathwick J R and Hastie T 2008 A working guide to boosted regression trees *J. Anim. Ecol.* **77** 802–13
- Ellenwood J R, Krist F J and Romero S A. 2015 National individual tree species atlas FHTET-15-01. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Forest Health Technology Enterprise Team, Fort Collins
- Gavin D, Brubaker L and Greenwald D 2013 Postglacial climate and fire-mediated vegetation change on the western Olympic Peninsula, Washington (USA) *Ecol. Monogr.* 83 471–89
- Hampe A and Petit R J 2005 Conserving biodiversity under climate change: the rear edge matters *Ecol. Lett.* **8** 461–7
- Harvey B J, Donato D C and Turner M G 2016 Drivers and trends in landscape patterns of stand-replacing fire in forests of the US Northern Rocky Mountains (1984-2010) Landscape Ecol. 31 2367–83
- Higuera P E *et al* 2019 Integrating subjective and objective dimensions of resilience in fire-prone landscapes *Bioscience* **69** 379–88
- Hijmans R J, Phillips S, Leathwick J and Elith J 2017 dismo: Species Distribution Modeling. R package version 1.1-4 (available at: https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=dismo)
- Jackson S T, Betancourt J L, Booth R K and Gray S T 2009 Ecology and the ratchet of events: climate variability, niche dimensions, and species distributions *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **106** 19685–92
- Johnstone J F *et al* 2016 Changing disturbance regimes, ecological memory, and forest resilience *Frontiers Ecol. Environ.* **14** 369–78
- Kemp K B, Higuera P E and Morgan P 2016 Fire legacies impact conifer regeneration across environmental gradients in the U.S. northern Rockies *Landscape Ecol.* **31** 619–36
- Kemp K B, Higuera P E, Morgan P and Abatzoglou J T 2019 Climate will increasingly determine post-fire tree regeneration success in low-elevation forests, Northern Rockies, USA *Ecosphere* **10** e02568
- Korb J E, Fornwalt P J and Stevens-Rumann C S 2019 What drives ponderosa pine regeneration following wildfire in the western United States? *For. Ecol. Manage.* **454** 117663
- Kruijt B, Witte J P M, Jacobs C M J and Kroon T 2008 Effects of rising atmospheric CO² on evapotranspiration and soil moisture: a practical approach for the Netherlands *J. Hydrol.* 349 257–67
- Liang S, Hurteau M D and Westerling A L 2017 Response of Sierra Nevada forests to projected climate-wildfire interactions *Global Change Biol.* **23** 2016–30
- Littell J S, Mckenzie D, Wan H Y and Cushman S A 2018 Climate change and future wildfire in the Western United States: an ecological approach to nonstationarity *Earth's Future* 6 1097–111
- Lloret F and Kitzberger T 2018 Historical and event-based bioclimatic suitability predicts regional forest vulnerability to compound effects of severe drought and bark beetle infestation *Global Change Biol.* **24** 1952–64
- Mcwethy D B *et al* 2019 Rethinking resilience to wildfire *Nat. Sustainability* **2** 797–804
- Miller J D, Safford H D, Crimmins M and Thode A E 2009 Quantitative evidence for increasing forest fire severity in the Sierra Nevada and Southern Cascade Mountains, California and Nevada, USA *Ecosystems* **12** 16–32
- Parks S A, Dobrowski S Z, Shaw J D and Miller C 2019 Living on the edge: trailing edge forests at risk of fire-facilitated conversion to non-forest *Ecosphere* **10** e02651
- Parks S A, Holsinger L M, Panunto M H, Jolly W M, Dobrowski S Z and Dillon G K 2018 High-severity fire:

evaluating its key drivers and mapping its probability across western US forests *Environ. Res. Lett.* **13** 044037

- Potter K M, Hipkins V D, Mahalovich M F and Means R E 2015 Nuclear genetic variation across the range of ponderosa pine (Pinus ponderosa): phylogeographic, taxonomic and conservation implications *Tree Genet. Genomes* **11** 38
- Qin Y, Abatzoglou J T, Siebert S, Huning L S, Aghakouchak A, Mankin J S, Hong C, Tong D, Davis S J and Mueller N D 2020 Agricultural risks from changing snowmelt *Nat. Clim. Change* **10** 459–65
- Rehfeldt G E, Ferguson D E and Crookston N L 2008 Quantifying the abundance of co-occurring conifers along inland northwest (USA) climate gradients *Ecology* **89** 2127–39
- Rehfeldt G E, Jaquish B C, Lopez-Upton J, Saenz-Romero C, St Clair J B, Leites L P and Joyce D G 2014a Comparative genetic responses to climate for the varieties of Pinus ponderosa and Pseudotsuga menziesii: realized climate niches *For. Ecol. Manage.* **324** 126–37
- Rehfeldt G E, Jaquish B C, Saenz-Romero C, Joyce D G, Leites L P, St Clair J B and Lopez-Upton J 2014b Comparative genetic responses to climate in the varieties of Pinus ponderosa and Pseudotsuga menziesii: reforestation *For. Ecol. Manage.* 324 147–57
- Restaino C M, Peterson D L and Littell J 2016 Increased water deficit decreases Douglas fir growth throughout western US forests *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **113** 9557–62
- Rodman K C, Veblen T T, Chapman T B, Rother M T, Wion A P and Redmond M D 2020 Limitations to recovery following wildfire in dry forests of southern Colorado and northern New Mexico, USA *Ecol. Appl.* **30** e02001
- Rother M T and Veblen T T 2017 Climate drives episodic conifer establishment after fire in dry ponderosa pine forests of the colorado front range, USA *Forests* **8** 159
- Rother M T, Veblen T T and Furman L G 2015 A field experiment informs expected patterns of conifer regeneration after disturbance under changing climate conditions *Can. J. For. Res.* 45 1607–16
- Savage M, Brown P M and Feddema J 1996 The role of climate in a pine forest regeneration pulse in the southwestern United States *Ecoscience* 3 310–8
- Savage M and Mast J N 2005 How resilient are southwestern ponderosa pine forests after crown fires? *Can. J. For. Res.* 35 967–77
- Seidl R *et al* 2017 Forest disturbances under climate change *Nat. Clim. Change* **7** 395–402
- Serra-Diaz J M, Maxwell C, Lucash M S, Scheller R M, Laflower D M, Miller A D, Tepley A J, Epstein H E, Anderson-Teixeira K J and Thompson J R 2018 Disequilibrium of fire-prone forests sets the stage for a rapid decline in conifer dominance during the 21st century *Sci. Rep.* 8 6749
- Shatford J P A, Hibbs D E and Puettmann K J 2007 Conifer regeneration after forest fire in the Klamath-Siskiyous: how much, how soon? J. For. 105 139–46
- Singleton M P, Thode A E, Meador A J S and Iniguez J M 2019 Increasing trends in high-severity fire in the southwestern USA from 1984 to 2015 For. Ecol. Manage. 433 709–19
- Stevens J T, Kling M M, Schwilk D W, Varner J M, Kane J M and Gillespie T 2020 Biogeography of fire regimes in western U.S. conifer forests: A trait-based approach *Global Ecol. Biogeogr.* 29 944–55
- Stevens-Rumann C S, Kemp K B, Higuera P E, Harvey B J, Rother M T, Donato D C, Morgan P and Veblen T T 2018 Evidence for declining forest resilience to wildfires under climate change *Ecol. Lett.* 21 243–52
- Stevens-Rumann C S and Morgan P 2019 Tree regeneration following wildfires in the western US: a review *Fire Ecol.* **15** 15
- Stralberg D, Wang X, Parisien M A, Robinne F N, Sólymos P, Mahon C L, Nielsen S E and Bayne E M 2018
 Wildfire-mediated vegetation change in boreal forests of Alberta, Canada *Ecosphere* 9 e02156

Svenning J C and Sandel B 2013 Disequilibrium vegetation dynamics under future climate change Am. J. Bot. 100 1266–86

- Tang Y, Zhong S Y, Luo L F, Bian X D, Heilman W E and Winkler J 2015 The potential impact of regional climate change on fire weather in the United States Ann. Assoc. Am. Geogr. 105 1–21
- Tarancon A A, Fule P Z, Shive K L, Sieg C H, Meador A S and Strom B 2014 Simulating post-wildfire forest trajectories under alternative climate and management scenarios *Ecol. Appl.* 24 1626–37
- Tepley A J, Thompson J R, Epstein H E and Anderson-Teixeira K J 2017 Vulnerability to forest loss through altered postfire recovery dynamics in a warming climate in the Klamath Mountains *Global Change Biol.* **23** 4117–32
- van Mantgem P J *et al* 2009 Widespread increase of tree mortality rates in the Western United States *Science* **323** 521–4
- Westerling A L 2016 Increasing western US forest wildfire activity: sensitivity to changes in the timing of spring *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. B* 371 20150178
- Yazzie J O, Ful É P Z, Kim Y S and Sanchez Meador A 2019 Din é kinship as a framework for conserving native tree species in climate change *Ecol. Appl.* 29 e01944
- Young D J N, Blush T D, Landram M, Wright J W, Latimer A M and Safford H D 2020 Assisted gene flow in the context of large-scale forest management in California, USA *Ecosphere* 11 e03001